

# SCIENCE+SOFTWARE=SUCCESS

### **A Simulations Plus Companies Workshop**

# Mechanistic PBPK modeling of special population groups – considerations and opportunities

### October 20, 2019



### SLP M&S Workshop Agenda

- 8:30 8:45 CEO's Intro and Welcome
- 8:45 9:15 The Big Picture of Integrating Simulation Methods within Drug Development
- 9:15 10:30 Mechanistic PBPK Modeling Special Populations
- 10:30 10:45 Break
- 10:45 Noon Quantitative Systems Toxicology (QST)
- Noon 1:00 Lunch
- 1:00 2:15 Quantitative Systems Pharmacology (QSP)
- 2:15 2:30 Break
- 2:30 3:45 Pharmacometrics to Support Regulatory Approval
- 3:45 4:00 Q&A, Wrap-up



# **The Big Picture**



### Advanced Compartmental Absorption and Transit Model (ACAT™)



### Advanced Compartmental Absorption and Transit Model (ACAT™)



### Advanced Compartmental Absorption and Transit Model (ACAT™)



### Pathways beyond oral absorption...

#### Ocular (OCAT<sup>™</sup>)



#### Pulmonary (PCAT<sup>™</sup>)







#### Dermal (TCAT<sup>™</sup>)



St Simulations Plus SCIENCE + SOFTWARE = SUCCESS

# Discovery Preclinical Clinical



#### **Discovery PK**

Combine *in silico* technologies to screen compound libraries in animals or humans

Incorporate preclinical/*in vitro* data to extend FIH simulations to full *in vivo* outcomes (IVIVE)

Identify toxic dose levels in preclinical species

<u>Clinical PK/Pharmacology</u> Simulate population behaviors (e.g., pediatrics, disease) Build PBPK-PD models Predict DDIs

Pharmaceutical Development

Assess various strategies during formulation development

Assist with Quality by Design (QbD) implementation

Develop mechanistic in vitro-in vivo correlations (IVIVCs)

Understand food effects



### **Human PK Prediction**

Comparison of first-in-human prediction accuracy in a 2year study of 21 compounds (Cole et al., ISSX 2008)

#### Summary of IV profile prediction accuracy

	PROFILE		Vss	CL		
APPROACH	Weighted sum of squares (RANK)	AFE	% within 2-fold error (3-fold error)	AFE	% within 2-fold error (3-fold error)	
GastroPlus	-11.7 (1)	1.4	90 (100)	1.6	80 (85)	
PKSim	-6.4 (2)	1.7	70 (90)	1.6	80 (85)	
Current Pfizer Approach	-3.8 (3)	1.6	75 (85)	1.6	80 (85)	
SimCYP - hlm	5.6 (4)*	1.5	80 (95)	2.5	58 (74)	
SimCYP - rhCYP	7.8 (5)*	1.5	80 (95)	2.4	55 (65)	
ChloePK	8.5 (6)*	14	-	1.7	70 (80)	

#### Summary of Oral profile prediction accuracy

AFE→ Average Fold Error

	PROFILE		AUC		Cmax
APPROACH	Weighted sum of squares (RANK)	AFE	% within 2-fold error (3-fold error)	AFE	% within 2-fold error (3-fold error)
GastroPlus	-9.8 (1)	2.7	50 (72)	2.0	67 (72)
Current Pfizer Approach	-5.3 (2)	3.9	33 (56)	2.5	44 (61)
SimCYP - rhCYP	-3.7 (3)	3.0	56 (67)	2.2	61 (72)
SimCYP - hlm	5.7 (4)*	3.6	41 (53)	2.7	53 (59)
PKSim	6.1 (5)*	4.7	22 (39)	5.0	17 (33)
ChloePK	7.0 (6)*	2.8	39 (50)	2.4	50 (61)

#### Case Study #2: Internal kinase-"X" Inhibitor series





#### Predicting Pharmacokinetic Profiles Using in Silico Derived Parameters

Natalie A. Hosea\* and Hannah M. Jones

Department of Pharmacokinetic, Dynamics and Metabolism, Pfizer, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140, United States

ABSTRACT: Human pharmacokinetic (PK) predictions play a critical role in assessing the quality of potential clinical candidates where the accurate estimation of clearance, volume of distribution, bioavailability, and the plasma-concentrationtime profiles are the desired end points. While many methods for conducting predictions utilize in vivo data, predictions can be conducted successfully from in vitro or in silico data applying modeling and simulation techniques. This approach can be facilitated using commercially available prediction software such as GastroPlus which has been reported to

FIH predictions: Industry

standards

pubs.acs.org/molecularpharm.aceutics

(Hosea et al., 2013)

accurately predict the oral PK profile of small drug-like molecules. Herein, case studies are described where GastroPlus modeling and simulation was employed using in silico or in vitro data to predict PK profiles in early discovery. The results obtained demonstrate the feasibility of adequately predicting plasma-concentration-time profiles with in silico derived as well as in vitro measured parameters and hence predicting PK profiles with minimal data. The applicability of this approach can provide key information enabling decisions on either dose selection, chemistry strategy to improve compounds, or clinical protocol design, thus demonstrating the value of modeling and simulation in both early discovery and exploratory development for predicting absorption and disposition profiles.

KEYWORDS: pharmacokinetic, profiles, prediction, modeling, simulation

inical Pharmacokinetics tps://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-019-00741-9

**REVIEW ARTICLE** 

Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Modelling for First-In-Human Predictions: An Updated Model Building Strategy Illustrated with Challenging Industry Case Studies

Nell A. Miller<sup>1</sup> · Micaela B. Reddy<sup>2</sup> · Aki T. Heikkinen<sup>3</sup> · Viera Lukacova<sup>4</sup> · Nell Parrott<sup>5</sup>

#### © The Author(s) 2019

#### Abstract

Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling is well e

regulatory agencies for the prediction of drug-drug interacti (Miller at al. Clin Pharm 2019) ling is valuable to address a much wider range of pharm

full power is leveraged. As one example, physiologically bas drug discovery for in-vitro to in-vivo translation and pharmacokinetic modelling in preclinical species, and this leads to the application of verified models for first-in-human pharmacokinetic predictions. A consistent cross-industry strategy in this application area would increase confidence in the approach and facilitate further learning. With this in mind, this article aims to enhance a previously published first-in-human physiologically based pharmacokinetic model-building strategy. Based on the experience of scientists from multiple companies participating in the GastroPlus™ User Group Steering Committee, new Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion knowledge is integrated and decision trees proposed for each essential component of a first-in-human prediction. We have reviewed many relevant scientific publications to identify new findings and highlight gaps that need to be addressed. Finally, four industry case studies for more challenging compounds illustrate and highlight key components of the strategy.



**Risk Assessment** 

James B. Knaak, Curtis C. Dary, Xiaofei Zhang, Robert W. Gerlach, R. Tornero-Velez, Daniel T. Chang, Rocky Goldsmith, and Jerry N. Blancato

1 Introduction



tion on the metabolic pathways of specif and humans. Parameters may be obtained by fitting the output from models to experimental data gathered from in vivo studies (Zhang et al. 2007; Nong et al. 2008), in conjunction with using (1) experimental data obtained from in vitro studies, (2) quantitative structure-activity relationships (OSAR) and (3) other mathematical models, such as the mechanistic Poulin-Theil (2000; 2002a; b) IS algorithms for obtaining blood:tissue partition coefficients.

SCIENCE + SOF I WARE = SUCCESS

9

### **Pharmaceutical Development**

AAPS PharmSciTech, Vol. 16, No. 1, February 2015 (© 2014) DOI: 10.1208/s12249-014-0194-8

Research Article Theme: Leveraging BCS Classification and in-silico Modeling for Product Development Guest Editors: Divyakant Desai, John Crison, and Peter Timmins

Application of Absorption Modeling to Predict Bioequivalence Outcome of Two Batches of Etoricoxib Tablets

Amitava Mitra,<sup>1,3</sup> Filippos Kesisoglou,<sup>1</sup> and Peter Dogterom<sup>2</sup>

Mitra et al., AAPS PharmSciTech 2015, 16(1):76

#### Virtual Bioequivalence Study Simulations

	API Lot	PE/NPE	Dose	AU	C <sub>o</sub> (ng.h/mL) (N=250)	c	<sub>max</sub> (ng/mL) (N=250)
			(mg)	GM	GMR (90% CI)	GM	GMR (90% CI)
	Lot 5	PE	50	4180	113.3	551	139.3
	Lot 1	NPE	50	3688	(110.7, 116.1)	395	(136.0, 142 7)
	Lot 5	PE	100	8242	103.0	551	106.4
	Lot 3	NIDE	100	8001	(100.9, 105.1)	205	(104.3, 108.5)
staert,	AAF	PS Ar	าทน	al N	/leeting	g	100.0 (97.7, 102.4)
20	)15,	Orla	inde	o, F	L		95.1 (93.2, 97.0)
	Lot 5	PE	300	24998	101.9	3118	09.2

Lot 4 NPE 300 24525 (99.8, 104.1) 3171 (96.3, 100.4)

API: active pharmaceutical ingredient, AUC<sub>ac</sub> area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time 0 to infinite time; CL confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub> mast observed plasma concentration; GM: geometric mean; GMII: geometric mean ration; NPE: non-particle-engineered; PE: particle-engineered

RESEARCH ARTICLE - Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and Drug Transport and Metabolism

#### Application of Physiologically Based Absorption Modeling for Amphetamine Salts Drug Products in Generic Drug Evaluation

#### ANDREW H. BABISKIN, XINYUAN ZHANG

Division of Quantitative Methods and Modeling. Office of Research and Standards, Office of Generic Drugs, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, US Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, Maryland 20993

Received 30 January 2015; revised 8 April 2015; accepted 9 April 2015

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wil

ABSTRACT: Amphetamine (AMP) salts-based ext hyperactivity disorder. We developed physiologic sulfate ER capsules to address specific questions i development. The models were verified against se other than normal healthy subjects where BE stu macokinetics (Pk) for hypothecical formulations h



vivo relation. Finally, we demonstrated how to use the models to test sensitivity of PK metrics to the changes in formulation variable Published 2015. This article is a U.S. Government work and is in the public domain in the USA J Pharm Sci Keywords: physiological model; absorption; bioequivalence; bioavailability; clinical trial simulation; modified release



Sci. 2018

S SimulationsPlus

<sup>1</sup> Pharmacentical Sciences, Discovery and Manufacturing Sciences, Januere Research and Develop <sup>2</sup> Department of PK Sciences, Computational and Biopharmacentics Section, Novaris Institutes fo 07396

<sup>3</sup> Biopharmaceutics, Pharmaceutical Sciences, Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pennsylvania 1948
 <sup>4</sup> Pharmaceutical Sciences, Pharmaceutical Research and Early Development, Roche Innovation

### **Recent Services Activities**

- Our consulting team is working on 17 projects to support internal review and submissions to regulatory agencies for the following applications:
  - In silico safety/exposure screening for new compounds and analogs
  - Preclinical development and First-in-Human predictions
  - Formulation optimization
  - Virtual bioequivalence trial simulations
  - Food effect modeling
  - DDI predictions
  - Special population simulations and dose projections
  - Mechanistic IVIVCs to define product specifications
  - Non-oral delivery product assessment
  - Parent-metabolite and prodrug PBPK modeling

- Recent approved products supported by GastroPlus modeling include:
  - ALECENSA<sup>®</sup> (absorption/PPI DDI informing drug labeling)
  - BRAFTOVI<sup>®</sup> (metabolism DDI accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - CALQUENCE<sup>®</sup> (particle size distributions specifications accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - FARYDAK<sup>®</sup> (food effect modeling predictions informing drug labeling)
  - INLYTA<sup>®</sup> (transporter DDI accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - INVOKANA<sup>®</sup> (product manufacturing changes resulting in waiver of BA/BE study)
  - MEKINIST<sup>®</sup> (transporter DDI accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - MEKTOVI<sup>®</sup> (metabolism DDI accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - OPSUMIT<sup>®</sup> (particle size distributions specifications accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - TAMIFLU<sup>®</sup> (pediatric PBPK predictions informing dose selection)
  - ZURAMPIC<sup>®</sup> (wider product specifications accepted by regulatory agencies)
  - … and more!



# Discovery Preclinical Clinical



#### **Discovery PK**

Combine *in silico* technologies to screen compound libraries in animals or humans

Incorporate preclinical/*in vitro* data to extend FIH simulations to full *in vivo* outcomes (IVIVE)

Identify toxic dose levels in preclinical species

<u>Clinical PK/Pharmacology</u> Simulate population behaviors (e.g., pediatrics, disease) Build PBPK-PD models Predict DDIs

Pharmaceutical Development

Assess various strategies during formulation development

Assist with Quality by Design (QbD) implementation

Develop mechanistic in vitro-in vivo correlations (IVIVCs)

Understand food effects



### **Importance of PBPK Modeling in Special Populations**

- Unwarranted studies, due to the general nature of regulatory guidelines, may be avoided.
- Alleviation of the ethical problems and recruitment issues associated with clinical studies in children or subjects with more severe impairment of organs.
- Modeling helps to plan and optimize study design.
- Model simulations help to predict likely outcome in the disease population.
- Current built-in physiologies for special populations include: Pediatric, Liver Cirrhosis, Renal Impairment, Obesity, Pregnancy
- The flexibility of GastroPlus, and access to all physiological parameters, allows the user to create custom physiologies representing many conditions with an understanding of the appropriate changes.
- We're pleased to help users create custom gut (.cat) and the whole body physiology (.pbk) files that incorporate physiological changes relevant for specific population



# Pediatric



### **Virtual Population**



### **Children & Adults: Tissue Sizes and Blood Flows**



Virtual Adipose

····	Table 13. Organ Specific Perfusion Rates (I/min/I)					
Organ	Cowles et al., 1971	Fiserova-Bergerova and Hughes (1983)	KAPKR (V Leget	Villians and t, 1989)	Values Us Pro	ied in This ject
	Male and Female	Male	Male	Female	Male	Female
Thyroid	5.00	3.57	-	-	5.00	5.00
Kidneys	3.96	3.96	3.68	3.22	3.68	3.22
Heart	0.806	0.81	0.73	0.96	0.73	0.96
Brain	0.529	0.53	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.52
Splanchnic Tissues	0.038	-	-	-	-	-
Liver	-	0.58	0.84	1	0.84	1.00
Pancreas	-	-	0.6	0.61	0.60	0.61
Spleen	-	-	1	1.04	1.00	1.04
GI Organs	-	0.37	0.75	0.78	0.75	0.78
Skin	0.057	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.15
Muscle	0.0212	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Skeleton	-	-	0.03	0.03		
Red Marrow	0.399	-	-	-	0.30	0.30
Yellow Marrow	0.028	0.03	-	-	0.03	0.03
Bone tissue	- ·	0.01	-	-	-	-
Adipose Tissue	0.0241	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03

Price, P.S. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 33(5):469 (2003), Table 16



NHANES DXA Data

Houtkooper, LB, J. Appl. Physiol. 72:366 (1992) Segal, KR, Am. J. Clin. Nutrition 47(1):7 (1988) NHANES 2003-2004 Price, P.S. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 33(5):469 (2003)



### **Infants: Tissue Sizes**

For some parameters, the total age (gestational age + postnatal age) is the determining factor. Total body weight, height and tissue sizes for most of the tissues (except adipose) belong to this category. Example plots for two of the tissues, Liver and Kidneys, are shown below:

#### Gestational age is more important factor for % fat mass in infants



Black lines – representative of termborn infants

blue – males; red – females; green line shows term birth at 40 weeks gestation PMA – postmenstrual age (gestational + postnatal age)

blue – males; red – females; yellow – gender not defined PMA – postmenstrual age (gestational + postnatal age)



### **Age Dependent Tissue Composition**

Effect of age on tissue compositions is included. Example plots for two of the tissues, Adipose and Brain, are shown below:



PMA – postmenstrual age (gestational + postnatal age)



### **Red Blood Cell and Plasma Protein Binding**

Adult

Clos

- Includes automatic scaling of Fup and Rbp to account for different hematoc ulletand plasma protein levels in children than in adults (scaling assumes that entered experimental values represent adult blood and plasma)
- Details of scaling can be reviewed on separate forms ullet



ocrit	📓 Gastro	Plus(TM)	: GastDe	mo0.mo	lb (C:\	Doc\Vi	iera1\De	es\G	Pv\GP8.
	<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	<u>D</u> atabase	Simulation	n Setup	Controlle	ed <u>R</u> elease	e Too <u>l</u> s	Modu	es (Opt <u>i</u> onal)
		<u>C</u> ompound		)	Gut Phy	isiology-Hu	um	Ĭ	Pharmac <u>c</u>
	⊢PK Pa	rameter	s ———	-					
	Nev	V PBPK	PK	Model:	lumAmel	Mal2wks3	wksPrem	2.92k	
			1	1					
					Boo	ly Weigł	nt (kg):		2.92
	FPE	(if fixed) [	%]		. –				
	U	al:	0	Intesti	nal:	0	Live	er:	61.84
	🖂 Sca	ale Pediati	ric	Blo	od/pla:	sma Con	c Ratio:		0.75
	Fur	b & Rbp		🔘 Use	Exp Pl	lasma Fu	ıp (%):		9
ling 🔀	<b>\$</b>			Ilse	Adi Pl	asma Fu	ייי ה[%]י	<u> </u>	13,179
	<b>PBP</b>	K Summ	arv —	- 030		usina r a	p [*•].	·	
ilasma protein		issue	 Kn	ICL	CLint	Fut			
that entered		lepatic Arter	v 0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000			
luit blood and	6	ung	4.21	0.000	0.000	0.100			
	<b>1</b>	Arterial Supp	ly 0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000			
3 wks old		/enous Retu	rn 0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	64	\dipose	0.71	0.000	0.000	0.186			
0.43273	🔁 N	luscle	1.83	0.000	0.000	0.216			
0.75050	1 🔂 L	.iver	4.99	0.000	0.000	0.087			
0.75959	1 🚰 A	ACAT Gut	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	69	Spleen	3.49	0.000	0.000	0.120			-
63.068	I Desi L	10.00	01 0	10 000	10.000	0.162			
13 556	CLsys	: (L/h): 0.0	)00		С	ale Kps: F	erf: Rodg	ers-Sin	g; Perm:
10.000	YSS (L Thalf	.j: 4.631 (K) 0.000					S+ Fut	:xt :	
13.179		(11) 0.000						r	
ou	Piereleuent	oolubilitioo fr	ore ADMET	[ Prodictor					
<u>Close</u>	Diorelevanic	solubilides m	OMADME	Fredictor	1 10.1				
	pKa Table	logD: Struct	-6.1 I	Diss Mode	l: Johnso	on P	artSize-Sc	l: ON	BileSalt-Sol



### **Scaling Pediatric Fup**

Fup scaling is based on changes in total plasma protein (albumin and  $\alpha_1$ -acid glycoprotein) using previously published equation

(McNamara, AAPS PharmSci, 2002, E4)

$$fu_{ped} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{P_{ped}}{P_{adult}} \frac{(1 - fu_{adult})}{fu_{adult}}}$$

 $P_{ped}$  and  $P_{adult}$  is binding protein concentration in pediatric and adult subject, respectively;  $fu_{ped}$  and  $fu_{adult}$  is fraction unbound in plasma in pediatric and adult subject, respectively.



Pediatric *fup* observed and predicted from published equation using pediatric plasma protein level as implemented in GastroPlus. Reported values were for ages 1 day to ~ 4 months.



### **CYP Enzyme Ontogeny**

Tissue Parameters for: Liv	er 2 days	old										
<u>B</u> asic	Advanced	Enzymes	<u>I</u> ransporters	]								
Enzyme 2C19 2D6 2E1 3A4 3A5 3A7 Set Defaults	Advanced         Expression (mg-enz/g-tissue)       Express (%)         6.99E-03       106         1.49E-03       61         1.70E-02       61         2.61E-03       119         1.03E-03       119         3.35E-01       67	Enzymes	Image:	▲dvanced         Expression (mg-enz/g-tissue)         1.50E-02         1.50E-02         5.40E-02         1.51E-01         6.00E-02         1.27E-01	onth: xpression C <sup>1</sup> 306 11 19 19 19 19 De	S Old Enzymes V Turnover rate [1/min] 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 Tissue Parameter Basic Enzyme 2C19 2D6 2E1 3A4 3A5 3A7 Set Dej	Iransporters         Expression         Source/Type         Default Pediatric         Default Pediatric         Default Pediatric         Default Pediatric         Source/Type         Default Pediatric         Default Pediatric         Source/Type         Default Pediatric         Source/Type         Question         Source/Type         Default Pediatric         Source/Type         Question         Source/Type         Question         Question         Question         Source/Type         Question         Source/Type         Question         Source/Type         Question         Question	s s 1 anced tissue) (%) 100 61 61 111 67 57 57	year pression CV 6 9 9 9	OIC Enzymes Turnover rate [1/min] 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005	Iransporte	
										S+1	Simulatior	ısPlu:

SCIENCE + SOFTWARE = SUCCESS

### **CYP Enzyme Ontogeny**

<u>B</u> asic	Advanced	Enzymes			<u>B</u> asic	Ĭ.	<u>A</u> dvanced	Ĭ	Enzymes	<u>I</u> ransporter:	s
nzyme C19 D6 E1 A4 A5 A7	Expression (mg-enz/g-tissue)         Expression (%)           2.80E-02         106           1.70E-02         61           9.30E-02         61           2.39E-01         119           9.40E-02         119           4.11E-03         67	on CV Turnover rate [1/min] 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005	Expression Source/Type Default Pediatric Default Pediatric Default Pediatric Default Pediatric Default Pediatric Default Pediatric		Enzyme 2C19 2D6 2E1 3A4 3A5 3A4/5		Expression (mg-enz/g-tissue) 3.00E-02 1.70E-02 1.32E-01 2.42E-01 9.50E-02 3.37E-01	Expression CV (%) 106 61 61 119 119 67	Turnover rate [1/min] 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005 0.0005	Expression Source/Type Default Adult Default Adult Default Adult Default Adult Default Adult Default Adult	
Set De <u>f</u> aults	Add Enzyme	Delete Enzyme	a .⊊ 25 г	Inte	Set D	e <u>f</u> aults A4	Add Enzyme	Delet	e Enzyme <u>S</u> ave	Cancel	
			CXD3A4 (pmolmg <sup>-1</sup> prote 10 10 5 (11) Fetus	(6) <b>T</b> Neonate >31 -2	(6) (17) <b>I</b> I nonths >2–5 vears	(25) T >5–12 vears	(20) <b>I</b> >12 vears				
				-	youro youro	J = = =					

### **PEAR Physiology: Method**

All information is already included in GastroPlus PEAR Physiology module ... very easy to create the new pediatric physiologies!

For infants specify born at term or premature infant (up to 16 weeks premature) (this option appears only when age is set to less than 1 year old)

Some physiological parameters are dependent on both gestational age and postnatal age (i.e., % body fat, hematocrit, GFR).

🙀 PEAR Physiology							×
File Legacy Options							
New PEAR Phys							
	lolog/		Balance Model	1 🕥 🗆 Ex	nand View		
			<u></u>				
PEAR inputs —		PEAR OU	tputs				
Species:		Name	Volun	ne [mL] Perf	usion [mL/s	1	
Inum		Hepatic	Artery 0.000	0 1.31	186		
Population: Ame	rican 🔻	Lung	61.92	06 14.9	3422	_	
1		Arterial S	Supply 87.08	17 14.5	3422	_	
Gender: Male	• •	Venous	Return 1/4.1	<u>633</u> 14.8	3422	_	
U		Adipose	1410.	3633 U.77 M02 0.57	(43 )40	-	
Health Status: Heal	lthy 🗾	Muscle	012.3	403 0.50	J4U D77	_	
				0 324 3.00	)// 70 /	-	
Age: weeks 👻	3 -	ACAT G	11.20	0 1.37 M2 0.21	126	-	
,		Heart	20.99	26 0.41	120	-	
Born: 💿 at term (40-	week gestation)	Brain	436.1	695 610	133	-	
	2 weeks	Kidney	28.23	11 2.85	505	-	
		Skin	149.4	319 0.49	 121	-	
'		ReproOr	a 1.942	6 0.01	112	-	
Height [cm]: 51.	02	RedMan	row 41.47	58 0.34	414	-	
		YellowM	arrow 0.906	4 0.00	007	-	
Weight [kg]: 3.8	4	RestOfB	ody 533.1	361 0.43	388		
BMI [kg/m^2]: 14	752						
<b>% Body Fat:</b> 13.	04	1					
CO [m] /e]: 14	9422	Non-perfuse	ed bone [g]: 216	6.525 (% B₩	/: 5.639 )		
CO [incro].	3422				-		
Deninden Adia ere tierre in	infants and unus also	denne still le ne sien	ilia anti-materia a suta				
meminder: Adipose tissue in (55.68% in this physiology) si	inrants and young child o, unlike in adults, the s	size of the Adioc	niricant water conte nse tissue does pot		1		1
represent well the % body fa	t	neo or tho Holpe	100 10000 0000 HOC	9	<u>D</u> K	<u>C</u> ance	



### **Pediatric CL - Midazolam**



This is a prediction of pediatric population using *in vitro*, *in silico* and adult *in vivo* data and known differences in adult and pediatric physiology. The model was not fitted to pediatric data.

Lukacova – Workshop on Modeling in Pediatric Medicines, 2008



### Pediatric CL – metabolism by CYPs





25

### Pediatric CL – Acetaminophen



**College of Pharmacy** 

UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

TE



Samant et al. – Poster Presentation (Poster # 111) - ASCPT 2015 also Quantitative Systems Pharmacology pre-conference Poster # QP-16

### **Non-CYP Mediated CL**

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Clin Pharmacokinet 2011; 50 (9): 1-11 0312-5963/11/0009-0001/\$49.95/0

#### Development of a Physiologically Based Model for Oseltamivir and Simulation of Pharmacokinetics in Neonates and Infants

Neil Parrott,<sup>1</sup> Brian Davies,<sup>2</sup> Gerhard Hoffmann,<sup>1</sup> Annette Koerner,<sup>1</sup> Thierry Lave,<sup>1</sup> Eric Prinssen,<sup>3</sup> Elizabeth Theogaraj<sup>4</sup> and Thomas Singer<sup>1</sup>









### **Glomerular Filtration**



Prediction of gabapentin PK in pediatric population based on fitted adult model and known differences in adult and pediatric physiology



Lukacova – Workshop on Modeling in Pediatric Medicines, 2008



Figure 4-28: Plot of GFR vs post-menstrual age (PMA) for neonates up to 12 weeks old (left) and born after 27-33 (dark blue), 34 (light blue), 35(magenta), 36 (green), 38 (orange) and 40 (red) weeks of gestation (left) and plot of calculated vs observed GFR for the same data (right). Points represent experimental data (Arant 1978, Coulthard 1985, DeWoskin 2008, Fawer 1979) lines show GFR calculated in GastroPlus.

Prediction of vancomycin PK and clearance in infants population based on fitted adult model and known differences in adult and pediatric physiology



20 days old, born 12 weeks premature

Lukacova – Poster presentation, AAPS 2015



### **Transporter-Mediated CL: Valsartan**

- Transporter-mediated hepatic uptake and biliary secretion
- Substrate for:
  - OATP1B1/1B3 in liver
  - MRP2 efflux transporter expressed on apical kidney membrane and basolateral membranes in liver, gut and brain
- *in vivo* data available in rat and human (adult and pediatric 1-16 years old)





Simulations



### Valsartan : Calibrate Adult PBPK Model

- 1. Predicted rat IV using *in vitro* data measured in rat hepatocytes
- 2. Predicted human IV using *in vitro* data measured in human hepatocytes
- 3. Refined adult PBPK model
- 4. Used refined adult PBPK model to predict the PK in children

Hepatocytes transport data <sup>a</sup> Poirier – J Pharmacokine	Wistar rats $(n = 3)$ Mean $\pm$ SD et Pharmacodyn 2009, 3	Cryopreserved human hepatocytes lot 77 36:585 Jean ± SD
Uptake from plasma (in vitro data)		
K <sub>mI,u</sub>		
(μM)	$28.4 \pm 3.7$	$44.4 \pm 14.6$
(mg/l eq. µg/ml)	$12.4 \pm 1.6$	$19.3 \pm 6.4$
V <sub>max1</sub> (pmol/mg/min)	$1318 \pm 176$	$301 \pm 85$
J <sub>max1</sub> (mg/s)	$0.0126 \pm 0.0017$	$0.241 \pm 0.067$
P <sub>dif</sub> (µl/mg/min)	$1.21 \pm 0.42$	$0.724 \pm 0.271$
PS <sub>TC</sub> (ml/s)	$0.0266 \pm 0.0092$	$1.32 \pm 0.49$
<i>f</i> ь (%)	$0.394 \pm 0.171$	$0.417 \pm 0.226$
Excretion from liver to bile		
$K_{\rm mE,u}$ (µg/g eq. mg/l)	12.4	19.3
$J_{\text{maxE}}$ (mg/s)	0.0126	0.241
PS <sub>TCAp</sub> (ml/s)	0	0

Lukacova – 17<sup>th</sup> North American ISSX meeting 2011, Atlanta, GA



SH SimulationsPlus

### **Valsartan: Predict Pediatric Disposition**

Dosing 2 mg/kg, experimental profiles are averages of 6-7 individuals (initial assumption – the same transporter density as in adults)



### **Transporter-Mediated CL: Amoxicillin**

- Eliminated by renal secretion (glomerular filtration and active secretion)
- Substrate for:
  - PepT1/PepT2 expressed in kidney, liver, brain, gut
  - MRP4 efflux transporter expressed on apical kidney membrane and basolateral membranes in liver, gut and brain
- *in vivo* data available in human (adult and pediatric for infants up to 3 years)

Akanuma et al. DMD 2011



### **Adult Model Development and Validation**

Adult PBPK model was calibrated against in vivo PK data after IV and PO administration in adults



Adult PBPK model was validated by predicting PK in adults with different degrees of renal impairment (manual modifications of GFR and transporter activities based on each groups CrCL)



Lukacova – AAPS Annual Meeting 2012, Chicago, IL



### **Predict Pediatric Disposition**



Rubin et al. J Clin Invest 1949

### Estimating kidney transporter expression from PAH data



### **Prodrug Administration : Valcyte**

The AAPS Journal, Vol. 18, No. 6, November 2016 (© 2016) DOI: 10.1208/s12248-016-9956-4

Research Article

A Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Model for Ganciclovir and Its Prodrug Valganciclovir in Adults and Children

V. Lukacova,<sup>1</sup> P. Goelzer,<sup>2</sup> M. Reddy,<sup>3</sup> G. Greig,<sup>4</sup> B. Reigner,<sup>4</sup> and N. Parrott<sup>5,6</sup>

Bottom-up Meets Top-down: Complementary Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic and Population Pharmacokinetic Modeling for Regulatory Approval of a Dosing Algorithm of Valganciclovir in Very Young Children

K Jorga<sup>1</sup>, C Chavanne<sup>2</sup>, N Frey<sup>2</sup>, T Lave<sup>3</sup>, V Lukacova<sup>4</sup>, N Parrott<sup>3</sup>, R Peck<sup>2</sup> and B Reigner<sup>2</sup>

PK data after IV administration in animals was used to determine the systemic disposition mechanisms







### **Fit Adult Model and Predict Pediatric PK**

Adult PK data after IV and PO administration of ganciclovir and valganciclovir was used to calibrate human PBPK model



#### Human PBPK model was then used to predict PK in children





### **Disease States**



### **Liver Cirrhosis**

Replacement of normal liver tissue with non-functional scar tissue caused by chronic conditions



Child-Pugh (CP) score is used to classify the degree of disease severity: CP-A (well compensated disease), CP-B (significant functional compromise) & CP-C (decompensated disease)



### **Physiological Changes In Liver Cirrhosis**

Table III. Physiological and biochemical parameter changes associated with liver cirrhosis

Parameter	Control	Child-P	ugh score	
		Α	в	С
Liver volume fraction	1.0	0.81	0.65	0.53
CYP (pmol/mg)				
1A2	52	32.9	13.6	6.10
2A6	20	17.7	12.3	6.40
2B6	17	17.0	15.3	13.6
2C8	24	16.6	12.5	7.90
2C9	73	50.4	38.0	24.1
2C18	1.0	0.32	0.26	0.12
2C19	14	4.50	3.60	1.70
2D6	8.0	6.10	2.60	0.84
2E1	61	45.1	29.3	6.71
3A4	137	80.8	53.2	34.2
Gut CYP3A4 (nmol per total gut)	70	59	40	25
Albumin (g/L)	44.7	41.1	33.9	26.3
α1-acid glycoprotein (g/L)	0.80	0.57	0.52	0.46
Haematocrit (%)	40.9	36.6	32.9	31.9
Cardiac output (L/h)	306	355	403	431
Portal blood flow (L/h)				
males	58.2	52.9	36.9	32.2
females	65.8	59.9	41.7	36.4
Hepatic arterial blood flow (L/h)	19.9	28	32.3	38.1
Q <sub>villi</sub> (L/h)	18.4	23.7	28.0	36.6
GFR (mL/min)	120	83.7	69.9	66.5
CYP = cytochrome P450; GFR = glor flow.	merular filtra	ation rate	; <b>Q<sub>villi</sub>=vil</b> l	ous blood

Johnson – Clin Pharmacokinet 2010, 49:189-206

#### Li - CPT Pharmacometrics Syst. Pharmacol. 2015, 4:338-349

			Child–Pugh Grade	
Parameter		А	В	С
Albumin concentration		0.84±0.15	0.69±0.15	0.53±0.15
Hematocrit (%) <sup>b</sup>		38±5.0	34±5.7	34±5.5
Cardiac output		1.1±0.39	1.2±0.34	1.3±0.30
Portal vein blood flow		0.72±0.57	0.60±0.61	0.13±0.57
Splenic vein blood flow		1.2±0.29	1.5±0.52	1.5±0.54
Liver arterial blood flow		1.5±1.1	1.7±1.5	2.1±1.9
Functional liver size		0.91±0.26	0.81±0.26	0.64±0.22
Liver transporter mRNA level <sup>c</sup>	OATP1B1	0.65±0.49	(0.65±0.49)	(0.65±0.49
	OATP1B3	0.73±0.59	(0.73±0.59)	(0.73±0.59
	OATP2B1	0.77±0.47	(0.77±0.47)	(0.77±0.47
	MRP2	0.54±0.48	(0.54±0.48)	(0.54±0.48
	BCRP	0.58±0.45	(0.58±0.45)	(0.58±0.45
	BSEP	1.1±0.51	(1.1±0.51)	(1.1±0.51
	MDR1	1.1±0.49	(1.1±0.49)	(1.1±0.49
	MDR3	2.3±0.45	(2.3±0.45)	(2.3±0.45
	MATE1	0.65±0.52	(0.65±0.52)	(0.65±0.52)
Uptake transporter activity <sup>d</sup>		0.78±0.070	0.31±0.033	(0.31±0.03
Efflux transporter activity <sup>d</sup>		0.69±0.12	2.6±17	(2.6±17)

Parameter	Child-Pu	ugh class	
_	A	В	С
Blood flow			
portalª	0.40	0.36	0.04
hepatic arterial <sup>b</sup>	1.3	2.3	3.4
renalc	0.88	0.65	0.48
other organs <sup>d</sup>	1.75	2.25	2.75
Cardiac index <sup>e</sup>	1.11	1.27	1.36
Albumin <sup>f</sup>	0.81	0.68	0.50
α1-Acid glycoproteing	0.60	0.56	0.30
Haematocrit value <sup>h</sup>	0.39	0.37	0.35
Functional liver mass	0.69	0.55	0.28
Hepatic enzymes <sup>i</sup>			
CYP3A4	1	0.4	0.4
CYP1A2	1	0.1	0.1
CYP2E1	1	0.83	0.83
GFR <sup>k</sup>	1	0.70	0.36

Edginton - Clin Pharmacokinet 2008, 47:743-752



# **Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)**

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a condition characterized by a gradual loss of kidney function over time

#### **CKD Classification:**

Stage	Description	GFR [ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ]
1	Kidney damage with normal or 个 GFR	≥ 90
2	Kidney damage with mild $\downarrow$ GFR	60-89
3a	Madarata   CED	45-59
3b	Moderale V GFR	30-44
4	Severe $\downarrow$ GFR	15-29
5	Kidney failure	< 15

#### Physiological changes apart from a decrease in GFR:

### Table 1. Key physiological and biochemical parameter changesassociated with differing degrees of renal impairment.

Parameter	Control	GFR (ml/m	in/1.73 m²)
		30–59	<30
CYP1A2 (pmol/mg)	52 [58]	33 [63,129-131]	24 [129-131]
CYP2C8 (pmol/mg)	24 [58]	20 [64]	13 [64]
CYP2C9 (pmol/mg)	73 [58]	63 [65]	29 [65]
CYP2C19 (pmol/mg)	14 [58]	5.5 [66]	2.3 [66]
CYP2D6 (pmol/mg)	8.0 [58]	4.6 [67,132,133]	2.1 [132,133]
CYP3A4 (pmol/mg)	137 [58]	73 [68,134,135]	62 [68,135]
Albumin (g.l <sup>-1</sup> ) M F	44.9 [205] 41.8 [205]	41.6 [136,137,205] 38.8 [136,137,205]	37.6 [136,137,205] 35.0 [136,137,205]
Hematocrit (%) M F	43.0 [43] 38.0 [43]	39.7 [43] 33.2 [43]	36.5 [43] 31.3 [43]
Gastric emptying time (h)	0.40 [35]	0.55 [19]	0.65 [19]
E. Female: GER: Glomerular filtrat	ion rate: M: Male		

Yeo et al., Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol. 2010, 4(2):261-274

Decrease in hepatic and renal uptake transporter activity (e.g. OATP, OAT) Zhao et al., J Clin Pharmacol 2012, 52:91S-108S; Hsu et al., Clin Pharmacokinet 2014, 53:283-293



# Obesity

# GastroPlus follows WHO (adults) and CDC (children) classifications of obesity

Table 4.3: Classification of overweight and obesity for adults by the body mass index (BMI)						
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Description	<b>Risk of co-morbidities</b>				
<18.5	Underweight	Low				
18.5-24.99	Healthy	Average				
25-29.99	Overweight	Mildly increased				
30-39.99	Obese(combined Obese class I and II)	Moderate-Severe				
>40	Morbidly obese(Obese class III)	Very severe				

Table 4.4: Classification of ov	erweight and obesity for children by the body	mass index (BMI):
Description	Percentile Range	
Underweight	< 5 <sup>th</sup> percentile	
Healthy	5 <sup>th</sup> – 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile	
Overweight	85 <sup>th</sup> – 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile	
Obese	>95 <sup>th</sup> percentile	

Physiological changes in:

- Body and tissue composition
- Cardiac output and tissue blood flows
- GFR
- Hepatic CYP450 expressions



### **PEAR – Disease Conditions**

For hepatic and renal impairment, user has an option to select a group of patients depending on severity of the disease Based on combination of Height, Weight and BMI, algorithms account for normal, overweight and obese subjects when creating physiologies

🙀 PEAR Physiology	- 🗆 ×		🙀 PEAR Physiology			—	
Eile Legacy Options	Balance Model		Eile Legacy Options New PEAR Physiology	Balance	Model	☑ <u>E</u> xpand View	
PEAR Inputs Species: Human Population: American Gender: Male Health Status Healthy Age: years Cirrhosis CP=A Cirrhosis CP=C Renal Impair Mild Benal Impair	Name         Vol.           Hepatic Artery         0.00           Lung         1141           Arterial Supply         222           Venous Return         4451           Adipose         3101           Muscle         276           Liver         1707.0137           ACAT Gut         0.0000           Spleen         170.0108           Spleen         170.0108           Heart         367.5291           Heart         367.5291           Kidney         384.0354	is already i R Physiolog the new dis	included in gy module very ease physiologies!	EAR Outputs Name Hepatic Artery Lung Arterial Supply Venous Return Adipose Muscle Liver ACAT Gut Spleen Heart Brain Kidney	% Weight           0.0000           1.1445           2.3464           4.6927           38.0812           31.4788           1.9634           0.0000           0.1603           0.4143           1.5241           0.4324	% C0           7.8574           100.0000           100.0000           100.0000           117.991           12.8722           23.9173           13.9018           2.1581           4.1665           11.3702           21.5051	
Henal Impair Severe Renal Impair EndStage         Height [cm]:       176.43         Weight [kg]:       85.53         BMI [kg/m^2]:       27.4773         & Body Fat:       26.34	Skin         3036.9386         6.0739           ReproOrg         57.6472         0.2018           RedMarrow         1184.6949         5.9235           YellowMarrow         3233.0415         1.6465           RestOfBody         3053.4210         1.5267		Height [cm]:         169.6639           Weight [kg]:         95.           BMI [kg/m^2]:         33.           Obese         % Body Fat:           30.16         50.16	Skin ReproOrg RedMarrow YellowMarrow RestOfBody	3.9433 0.0674 1.3447 2.9089 3.2453	5.6710 0.2008 5.5684 1.5478 1.3815	
LU [mL/s]:  106.3799	<u>O</u> K <u>C</u> ancel					<u></u> K	<u>C</u> ancel

## **Example: Buspirone**

#### Absorption:

- Rapidly and almost complete absorption
- Mean absolute oral bioavailability is approximately 4%, ranging from 1.5-13%.
- $C_{max}$  of 1-6 ng/mL and  $T_{max}$  of 0.13-1.5

#### **Distribution:**

- Mean volume of distribution of 5.3 L/kg
- 95% bound to plasma proteins

#### Metabolism:

- Extensive first pass metabolism
- Mainly metabolized by CYP3A4

#### **Elimination:**

- Less than 1% of an administered dose was recovered as unchanged drug in urine
- Mean elimination half-life = 2-3 hrs



FIG. 1. Proposed metabolic pathways of buspirone in human liver microsomes. The <sup>14</sup>C-label is designated by \*. The numbering system is adopted from a previously reported system (Jajoo et al., 1989a). The primary P450 enzyme responsible for major metabolic pathways in HLMs is also listed.

#### Ref: Zhu et al., DMD 33; 500–507, 2005



### Available in vivo Data: Healthy Subjects

Baseline model for healthy subjects was built using *in silico, in vitro* and fitted (where *in silico* and *in vitro* estimates were not available) parameter values to describe PK of buspirone and two major metabolites after different PO doses



(6OHB) after a 5-day oral administration of 5, 7.5, 15, 20, and 30 mg buspirone HCl on a twice-daily dosing reimen.

Dockens et al., J Clin Pharm 46; 1308-1312, 2006



# PO IR Tablet 7.5 and 20 mg BID on Day 5 Healthy Subjects



Predicted (lines) and observed (points) Cp-time profiles of buspirone (red), 1-pyrimidinylpiperazine metabolite (blue) and 6-hydroxybuspirone metabolite (pink) in healthy adult volunteers after 9 doses of 7.5 mg and 20 mg buspirone hydrochloride administered once a day.

### PO IR Tablet 10 mg BID: Healthy & Hepatic Impairment





Observed data from Barbhaiya et al., Eur J Clin Pharmacol (1994) 46-41-47

### **Liver Cirrhosis - Midazolam**





orange – healthy green – Cirrhosis CP = A blue – Cirrhosis CP = B red – Cirrhosis CP = C

#### Top: 1mg IV bolus

Bottom: 7.5 mg IV bolus and 15 mg PO (assumed degree of hepatic impairment for second study)



# Pregnancy



### **Pregnancy Model**



Relevant mechanisms:

- 1. Intramembranous pathway (between amniotic fluid and fetal blood within the placenta and membranes)
- 2. Transmembranous pathway (between amniotic fluid and uterus)
- 3. Fetal pathway (swallowing, secretion, urination etc.)
- 4. Trans-placenta pathway



### • Poorly understood:

- Complex mechanisms: multiple pathways and exchange occurs simultaneously
- Mechanisms for volume regulatory vary with gestational age
- Most data are derived from sheep experiment
- 3 principals mechanisms:
  - Intramembranous pathway
  - Transmembranous pathway
  - Fetal pathway





### **Intramembranous pathway**

- rapid movement of water and solute that occurs between amniotic fluid and fetal blood within the placenta and membranes.
- Transcutaneous exchange between fetal blood and amniotic fluid disappears after skin keratinization
  - Keratinization begins between 19 to 20 weeks of gestation
  - Usually, keratinization is completed at 25 weeks of gestation
- Intramembranous pathway could be split into 2 components(Brace et al 2014):
  - Passive pathway where rate depends on the osmolality
  - Active pathway(presumed to be a vesicular transcytotic pathway) that moves amniotic fluid in bulk together with dissolved solutes from the amniotic fluid outward across the amnion into fetal blood





### **Transmembranous pathway**

- Movement of water and solute between amniotic fluid and maternal blood within the wall of the uterus
- Appears to make little if any contribution to net amniotic fluid volume or the concentration of the major solutes during the second half of gestation
- In early gestation, this pathway should be important (as other main pathway are not mature)





### **Fetal pathway**

- Movement of water and solute between amniotic fluid and fetal organs
- Represents the major flux of amniotic fluid during the second half of pregnancy
- The formation of urine by the fetal kidney begins with the appearance of the definitive kidney, between 9th and 12th week of gestation.
- The human fetus begins to swallow at the same time that fetal urine begins to enter the amniotic cavity.
- Around the 25th weeks of gestation, a substantial portion of the amniotic fluid is produced by the pulmonary epithelium.



![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **Fetal Physiology**

### Fetal growth is consistent with infant physiology

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

Plot of hematocrit vs post-menstrual age (PMA) for infants up to 1 year old or gestational age for fetus. The yellow triangles were calculated by the equation provided in Dallmann (Dallmann 2017). The final hematocrit equation for fetus was fitted with the Dallmann equation and rest of the observed data (black line).

**Fetal weight and height prediction in GastroPlus vs. observed data**. Solid line represents the current GP predicted value for fetal weights and the green circles are the observed values from multiple publications (refer to the Infant PBPK section).

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Weight Gain During Pregnancy**

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

**50th Percentile** 

Pre-pregnancy weight is important: will be used for calculation of all the tissue weights. Gestation age (in weeks) and pregnant weight will be used for calculating certain tissues' change during pregnancy such as uterus, kidney, fetal, adipose etc.

Weight gain during pregnancy. Red solid line represents the calculation in GastroPlus, the color coded diamonds are the observed weight gain for obese, overweight, normal weight and underweight subjects (Carmichael 1997)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Pregnancy Model**

Fo	or early p	regna	n	су: 0-е	5 g	estatio	n wee	eks	
👬 PEAR Physic	ology						_		×
File Legacy Or	ptions								
	Dhuciologu								
New FLAP	1 Fliyslology			D ala		and 🔿 🗉	Europed View		
	Balance Model 🗘 🗆 Expand View								
	uts		PE	AR Output	s —				
Species:				Name		Volume [mL]	Perfusion [r	mL/s]	
Species.	Human	-	▶	Hepatic Artery	,	0.0000	9.5361		
Population:	American	-		Lung		863.0109	104.4137		
	American	·		Arterial Supply	,	1614.2065	104.4137		
Gender:	Female	-		Venous Retur	n	3228.4129	104.4137		
				Adipose		37771.5942	18.8858		
Health Statu	IS: Pregnant	-		Muscle		17260.8337	8.6304		
				Liver		1474.0076	24.5673		
Age: years			$\vdash$	Soloon		120 6666	2 2202		
Mainta Cai				Heart		293 0641	4 6890		
weight Gair	n [Kg]: 0.89			Brain		1355 9673	12 0274		
Fetal Weigh	nt [kg]: 0.0005			Kidnev		355.1918	20.2989		
Estal CO Im				Skin		2232.4382	5.5811		
retai CU [m	<b>r / 21</b> : 0			ReproOrg		96.2603	0.3369		
Gestation A	ge 6	<u> </u>		RedMarrow		1131.9725	5.6599		
[week]:		-		YellowMarrow	/	2983.4221	1.4917		
Fetus Gend	er: Male	<u> </u>		RestOfBody		2754.8561	1.3774		
	Lean a			Uterus		105.6562	0.8679		
Height [cm]	: 162.2			l r	_	<i>.</i> .			
Weight [kg]	- 76.27	Uverwt			De	fines the v	weight be	etore pre	gnancy an
	00.0000				USE	d for tiss	ie calcul	ation	
BMI [kg/m^	<b>2]:</b>  28.9903		1	L					
% Body Fat:	35.89								
CO [mL/s]:	104.4137		1						
							<u>0</u> K	Cancel	

#### For pregnancy: 7-43 gestation weeks

ŶŶ	PEAR Physiology						_	$\Box$ $\times$
<u>F</u> ile	e Legacy Options							
N	ew PEAR Ph	vsiology						
		,			Balance Mo	del 😯 🗖	Expand View	
				DE		v	<u>_</u>	
Γ	FEAR inputs -							
	Species:	100.00	-		Name	Volume [mL]	Perfusion [mL/	's]
	Ju	unan	·		Hepatic Artery	0.0000	9.5361	_
	Population: 🛛 🛕	merican	•		Lung	863.0109	128.1165	
	1.1	monouri			Arterial Supply	2157.5381	128.1165	
	Gender: F	emale	•		Venous Return	4315.0762	128.1165	
					Adipose	43366.8134	21.6834	_
	Health Status:   <sub>P</sub>	regnant	-		Muscle	16424.9494	8.2125	_
	,		_		Liver	1474.0076	24.5673	_
	Age: Juears	- 30 ÷			ACAT Gut	0.0000	12.8010	_
	- Jouro _				Spleen	128.6666	2.2302	_
	Weight Gain [kg]	: 11.27			Heart	293.0641	4.6890	_
-	Eatal Waight [kg]			┓┝	Brain	1355.9673	13.6831	_
	retai weight [ky]	1.4063			Kidney	490.1358	28.3544	_
	Fetal CO [mL/s]:	11.750554			Skin	2391.0108	5.9775	_
-	<b>A A C A</b>			┛┝─	ReproUrg	96.2603	0.3369	_
	Gestation Age	30	÷		RedMarrow	1131.9725	5.6599	_
	week]	Male	-		YellowMarrow	2983.4221	1.4917	_
	Fetus Gender:	Imaie	<u> </u>		RestUrBody	3350.1413	1.6751	_
		102.0			Uterus	804.6208	1.9327	_
	Height [cm]:	162.2			PlacentaMaternal	231.9629	9.8531	_
	Weight [kg]:	86.65	Obese		Fetal Disconto Fatal	1406.3000	6.4268	_
	weight [kg].				Placentarietal	266.8821	0.3238	_
	BMI [kg/m^2]:	32.9357			Fetal Arterial Supply	24.7030	11.7506	_
	* Dadu Cab	26.27			AmpiotioEluid	722.2455	0.0000	_
	% body rac	30.27			Amnioucridiu	723.2430	0.0000	
	CO [mL/s]:	128.1165						
		+						
	Consistent	t with inf	ant				ок	Cancel
	physiology	/						_

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Population Simulator: Pregnancy**

💏 Population Simulator PEAR Settings	— 🗆 ×
Eile Legacy Options	
PEAR Population Simulator Settings	
Species: Human Variability in both maternal and f	etal physiologies will be included
- Human Sample Statistics	
Perform simple Monte-Carlo simulation (for uncertainty analysis)	
Maternal:	Fetal:
Sample Population: American  Health Status: Pregnant  K Male: 0	<b>% Male:</b> 50
Age between 20 years  And 40 years	Gest Åge between 15 And 25 weeks
Weight Gain between 3 And 9 kg	Weight between 80 And 120 % Typical Weight
Weight between 66.18 And 96.18	And 40 cm
BMI between 25.155 And 36.558 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	
Height between 134 55 And 195 54 cm	Gestational age needs to fail within
104.00	one of the two groups: less than 6
	weeks or more than 6 weeks.
Typical Subject Characteristics: Female 20 years old: 69 92kg: 162 71 cm: BMI=26 41	
Female 40 years old: 78.7kg; 161.17cm; BMI=30.3	

### **Population Simulator: Pregnancy**

🙀 Population Simulator PEAR Settings	- 🗆 ×
File Legacy Options	
PEAR Population Simulator Settings	
Species: Human Variability in both maternal and	fetal physiologies will be included
Human Sample Statistics	
Perform simple Monte-Carlo simulation (for uncertainty analysis)	
Maternal	reta:
Sample     Health       Population:     American         Health       Status:         Pregnant         % Male:	<b>% Male:</b> 50
Age between 20 years  And 40 years	Gest Age between 15 And 25 weeks
	Weight between 90 And 120 % Tunical Mainthan
Weight Gain between 3 And 9 kg	Height between 20
Mainta haberara (CC 19 And (CC 19 Jun	
weight between   66.10 And   36.10   kg	
BMI between 25.155 And 36.558 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	
Height between 134.55 And 195.54 cm	
Body weight is calculated from body weight	and weight gain, the final BIVII and
weight range are posted here	
Typical Subject Characteristics:	
Female 20 years old: 69.92kg; 162.71cm; BMI=26.41 Female 40 years old: 78.7kg; 161.17cm; BMI=30.3	ancel

- Widely used for antibacterial prophylaxis during several surgical procedures in pregnant women
- Urinary recovery of unchanged cefazolin constitutes 100% of the administered dose
- Renal elimination of cefazolin involves glomerular filtration and tubular secretion mediated by influx OATs 1/3 and efflux transporter MRP 4 (Km and Vmax values were fitted for healthy subjects). For Kidney filtration, the default fup\*GFR is used.

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Baseline model was calibrated/validated against in vivo data from literature (healthy males)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

Observed Data: Philipson 1987  $\rightarrow$  0.5 g IV; Rattie 1974  $\rightarrow$  1 g IV; Smyth 1979  $\rightarrow$  2; 3; 4 g IV

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

Validated model was then used to predict maternal and fetal PK

Cefazolin was administered to pregnant women before undergoing cesarean delivery

![](_page_60_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Maternal (left) and neonatal (right) plasma

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

Maternal plasma

Cefazolin - 1000 mg IV Cefazolin - 1000 mg IV Cefazolin - 1000 mg IV FioreM 2001 - 39 GA FioreM 2001 - 39 GA FioreM 2001 - 39 GA 75-Concentration (µg/mL) 22 Concentration (µg/mL) (hg/mL) ration ° -2 3 4 5 6 Simulation Time (h) 2 3 4 5 Simulation Time (h) Concenti - - 8-. Gh -<sup>0</sup> ᇇ Ś 6 3 2 3 Simulation Time (h) Simulation Time (h) Simulation Time (h) Cefazolin - 963 mg IM Cefazolin - 963 mg IM Cefazolin - 963 mg IM Bernard 1977 - 14 GA Bernard 1977 - 14 GA Bernard 1977 - 14 GA 55 50 Concentration (µg/mL) Concentration (µg/mL) Concentration (Jug/mL) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Simulation Time (h) 10 15 Simulation Time (h 10 15 Simulation Time (h) 10 5 6 8 9 ó ż ż ż 4 1 20 10 10 Simulation Time (h) Simulation Time (h)

Maternal plasma (left), neonatal plasma (middle), amniotic fluid (right)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Validated model was then used to predict maternal and fetal PK
- Cefazolin was administered to pregnant women (IV or IM) before undergoing elective cesarean delivery or hysterectomy

62

Observed Data: Fiore Mitchell 2001; Bernard 1977

# Summary

### PBPK models are here to stay

- PBPK modeling is already being used routinely for first-in-human predictions, pharmaceutical development and drug-drug interaction evaluations
- PBPK models also provide a platform for predictions of exposure in special populations and have a potential to go beyond the major groups in focus today (pediatric, hepatic and renal impairment)

### PBPK models play well with others

- PBPK can be used as complimentary approach to PopPK modeling, especially for groups where it is difficult to obtain PK data in large cohort
- With predictions of individual tissue concentrations, the simulated profiles can be linked with QSP/QST models to simulate the local effects of administered drug.

![](_page_62_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Acknowledgments

Michael Bolger (Chief Scientist)

### **Simulation Sciences**

Haiying Zhou (**TL**) Ke Szeto Jessica Spires James Mullin Manas Shah

Grace Fraczkiewicz (**TL**) Bill Van Osdol Joyce Macwan Tarang Vora Jin Dong Jasmina Novakovic Yujuan Zheng

### **ADMET Cheminformatics**

David Miller (**TL**) Robert Fraczkiewicz (Research Fellow) Robert Clark (Research Fellow) Marvin Waldman (Research Fellow) Pankaj Daga Michael Lawless Aleksandra A. Mikosz Dechuan Zhuang

### **Computational Technologies**

Jeff Dahlen (**TL**) Bryan Holland Mark Pflieger Kevin Cooper

![](_page_63_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

### >1000 members on the LinkedIn group page – membership is free!

http://www.linkedin.com/groups/GastroPlus-User-Group-5025927/about

### Mission & Goals:

Discuss best practices, Q&A and FAQs Share knowledge of software functionality and applications

Publish journal articles to show validation for different applications

Present and advance M&S science via social media, webinars and face-to-face meetings Feedback on improvements and software functionality requests to Simulations Plus Understand and influence regulatory expectations for M&S submissions

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)