Exposure-Response Analysis of Eslicarbazepine Acetate as Adjunctive Treatment of Patients With Partial-onset Seizures

Introduction

- Eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL) is a novel once-daily (QD) antiepileptic drug (AED) currently under clinical development in the US.
- ESL is rapidly and extensively metabolized to its major active metabolite, eslicarbazepine, which blocks voltage-gated sodium channels.¹
- In two phase 3 studies (Study -301 and -302) of patients with partial-onset seizures treated with 1 to 3 concomitant AEDs,^{2,3} ESL 800 mg and 1200 mg QD was well tolerated and more effective than placebo.^{2,3} Long-term safety was demonstrated in open-label extensions of these studies.⁴
- Examination of exposure-response relationships using efficacy endpoint data from these clinical trials, in conjunction with drug exposure measures generated from a previously developed population pharmacokinetic (PK) model, supported dose selection for ESL in the treatment of partial-onset seizures.
- Drug exposure measures were generated from a population PK model developed previously using the eslicarbazepine analyte concentrations.

Objective

 Develop pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) models to explore the exposure-response relationships between patient-specific measures of eslicarbazepine exposure and seizure frequency, as well as responder rate.

Methods

Study Design and Data

- Data were pooled from adult patients enrolled in 2 multi-center, randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 3 studies of ESL as adjunct therapy for partial-onset seizures.
- Each study included an 8-week baseline period during which all patients received placebo. The baseline period was followed by a double-blind 2-week titration period and a 12-week maintenance treatment period. In one study there was a 4-week tapering-off period (Study -301). At the end of the baseline period, patients were randomly assigned to 1 of the 4 treatment groups: ESL 1200 mg QD, 800 mg QD, 400 mg QD, or placebo QD.
- Pertinent entry criteria: adult males and females with simple and complex partial seizures (with or without secondary generalization) for at least 12 months before screening who were receiving up to 3 concomitant AEDs in a stable dose regimen for at least 2 months before screening, and had at least 4 partial-onset seizures during each of the 4-week periods of the 8-week baseline period.
- The primary efficacy endpoint was seizure frequency, standardized to a frequency per 4 weeks. A secondary endpoint was responder rate (defined as \geq 50% reduction in seizure frequency per 4-weeks from baseline during the maintenance period)
- Patients recorded all seizures by date and time of occurrence, and seizure type during the baseline and double-blind treatment phases in a written diary (with or without assistance). The frequency and types of seizures were determined based on the entries in these diaries.

Data Analysis

- Data preparation was performed using SAS, Version 9.1.3⁵ the population PK/PD analyses were performed using NONMEM, Version V, Level 1.1.⁶ Both FO and FOCE estimation methods were used for the seizure frequency model development, and the laplacian estimation method was used for the responder rate model.
- Individual-predicted estimates of steady-state average eslicarbazepine concentration (C_{av-ss}) obtained using a previously developed population PK model were used in the exposure-response analyses
- Seizure frequency data were log transformed (In) prior to analysis. Because some patients experienced no seizures during the maintenance period, the seizure frequency was increased by 4 for all patients included in the analysis prior to transformation.
- Covariates evaluated were baseline weight, sex, and seizure frequency. Assessment was performed using forward selection with α =0.01

Seizure Frequency Model Development

- The base structural model to predict seizure frequency was a function of a baseline (intercept), a placebo effect, and eslicarbazepine exposure (evaluated using linear, log-linear, and saturable (E_{max} model)) effects.
- Estimation of between-patient (inter individual) variability (IIV) in selected model parameters and within-patient (residual) variability (RV) in seizure frequencies was also included in the base structural model.
- Goodness-of-fit was assessed using scatter plots of measured versus predicted seizure frequency (derived as above) and weighted residuals versus the predicted seizure frequency (derived as above), %SEM of the parameter estimates, and changes in the estimates of IIV and RV.

Responder Rate Model Development

- Logistic regression analysis was used to describe the responder rate as the sum of a placebo effect and the effect of eslicarbazepine, which could be described by various functions (i.e., linear, saturable [E_{max} model]).
- The responder rate for a given patient and for a specified predicted ESL concentration was obtained using the equations in Figure 1.
- IIV and RV could not be estimated since each patient contributed only 1 value to define responder status.
- Since typical residual plots were not appropriate in this situation, the percentage of responders relative to the predicted steady-state average eslicarbazepine concentration was evaluated graphically.

Figure 1. Equations Used to Obtain Responder Rate	
$P(Y=1) = \frac{e^{Logit}}{(1+e^{Logit})}$	
$P(Y=0) = 1 - \left[\frac{e^{Logit}}{(1+e^{Logit})}\right]$	j.

Results

- **Data Description**
- are shown in Table 1. are shown in **Table 2**.

Table 1. Baseline Demographic Characteristics

Patient Characteristic

Age (y)

Baseline standardized seizures (n/28 days)

Weight (kg)

Race, n (%)

Sex, n (%)

Randomized treatme dose, n (%)

Table 2. Eslicarbazepine Steady-State Average Concentration (C_{av-ss}), and Seizure Frequencies During the Baseline and Maintenance Periods (Pooled Data)

C_{av-ss} (ng/mL)

Seizures per 28 days in baseline period^a

Seizures per 28 days in maintenance period^a

Natural log of seizures per 28 days + 4 in maintenance period

^aFractional minimum and max

Seizure Frequency Model

- shown in Table 3
- frequencies

Jahnavi Kharidia¹; Julie Passarell²; Gary Maier¹, Jacqueline Zummo¹; Elizabeth Ludwig², Ted Grasela², Jill Fiedler-Kelly² ¹Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc, Marlborough, MA; ²Cognigen Corporation, Buffalo, NY

• 628 subjects and 1253 standardized seizure frequency measures were included in the analyses. The median subject age was 36.4 years, and median baseline seizure frequency was 7.6 seizures/28 days. Demographic characteristics

• Summary statistics for eslicarbazepine C_{av-ss} and for seizure frequency during the baseline and maintenance periods

C		Study -301	Study -302	Pooled Data
	Median	37.7	35.0	36.4
	Minimum, Maximum	18.0, 75.6	18.0, 69.3	18.0, 75.6
	n	322	306	628
d	Median	7.132	8.351	7.566
	Minimum, Maximum	2.00, 153.48	2.00, 87.93	2.00, 153.48
	n	322	306	628
	Median	70.0	69.0	70.0
	Minimum, Maximum	40, 130	38, 138	38, 138
	n	322	306	628
	Caucasian	322 (100)	270 (88.2)	592 (94.3)
	Black	0	17 (5.6)	17 (2.7)
	Asian	0	5 (1.6)	5 (0.8)
	Hispanic	0	14 (4.6)	14 (2.2)
	Male	169 (52.5)	163 (53.3)	332 (52.9)
	Female	153 (47.5)	143 (46.7)	296 (47.1)
	Placebo	102 (31.7)	99 (32.4)	201 (32.0)
nt	400 mg	78 (24.2)	70 (22.9)	148 (23.6)
	800 mg	76 (23.6)	76 (24.8)	152 (24.2)
	1200 mg	66 (20.5)	61 (19.9)	127 (20.2)

	Placebo	400 mg	800 mg	1200 mg
	(n_201)	400 mg	(n_152)	(p_127)
	(11=201)	(11=140)	(11=152)	(11=127)
Mean SD	0	3775.168	7821.357	12954.992
	U	1604.141	2567.008	5375.058
Median	0	3335.770	7340.821	11664.256
Minimum,	0.0	1636.07,	2240.75,	6572.55,
Maximum	0, 0	10222.44	18373.57	42992.26
Mean SD	12.592 15.697	12.288 10.364	13.650 14.069	13.618 16.867
Median	6.877	8.073	7.368	8.145
Minimum,	0.00 450 40		0.00.70.00	0.00.444.50
Maximum	2.00, 153.48	2.50, 55.50	3.00, 78.69	2.00, 141.53
Mean SD	12.016 16.165	9.622 9.998	9.924 15.018	9.365 15.004
Median	6.959	5.929	5.228	4.667
Minimum,	0 100 00	0 55 24	0 144 21	0 1 4 1 0 4
Maximum	0, 122.33	0, 00.34	0, 144.31	0, 141.01
Mean SD	2.512 0.653	2.431 0.562	2.373 0.645	2.322 0.660
Median	2.394	2.296	2.222	2.159
Minimum,	1 20 4 94	1 20 1 09	1 20 5 00	1 20 4 00
Maximum	1.39, 4.84	1.39, 4.08	1.39, 5.00	1.39, 4.98

• The final model (Figure 2) for the In seizure frequency was the sum of a baseline seizure frequency, a constant placebo effect, and an eslicarbazepine drug effect that was best described by an E_{max} function of the predicted C_{av-ss}. • All parameters in the final model were estimated precisely (%SEM <50%) with the exception of residual variability as

• E_{max} was related to baseline seizure frequency; a larger maximum effect is expected with higher baseline seizure

- Additive IIV was estimated on baseline seizure frequency and the placebo effect, and proportional IIV was estimated on E_{max}. RV was modeled using an additive error model.
- Diagnostic plots (Figure 3) show reasonable goodness-of-fit.
- For patients receiving placebo, the predicted seizure frequency was 8.7 seizures/28 days.
- Based on the model, seizure frequencies per 28 days for the median C_{av-ss} associated with QD ESL doses of 400 mg, 800 mg, and 1200 mg were: 7.3, 6.7, and 6.6, respectively.
- The shallow nature of the relationship between dose-related eslicarbazepine Cavess and seizure frequency is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 2. Final Model for Seizure Frequency

ln (std S	F+4) _j = 2.64 – 0.09	971×	$< plac_j + (-plac_j)$
W	/here:		
	plac _j	=	an indicator variable for tre
	$C_{av - ss_j}$	=	steady-state average ESL co
	ln S seiz j	=	natural log of the baseline s

Table 3. Parameter Estimates From the Final Seizure Frequency Model

Final Parameter Estimate		Magnitude of Interindividua Variability		
Population Mean	%SEM	Final Estimate	%SEM	
2.64	0.8	0.297ª	7.7	
-0.0971	29.8	0.144 ^b	18.1	
-0.337	12.3	1.52°	18.8	
1970	43.6	NE	NA	
0.0104 ^d	66.6	NA	NA	
	Final Paramet Population Mean 2.64 -0.0971 -0.337 1970 0.0104 ^d	Final Parameter Estimate Population Mean %SEM 2.64 0.8 -0.0971 29.8 -0.337 12.3 1970 43.6 0.0104 ^d 66.6	Magnitude of I Final Parameter Estimate Varial Population Final Estimate Mean %SEM Estimate 0.297° 2.64 0.8 0.297° 0.144 ^b -0.0971 29.8 0.144 ^b 0.144 ^b -0.337 12.3 1.52° 1.970 1970 43.6 NE 0.0104 ^d	

Abbreviations: EC50, value of ESL C_{av-ss} leading to 50% of the maximum change in In (standardized SF +4); E_{max}, maximum change in the ln (standardized SF +4) due to C_{av-ss}; NA, not applicable; NE, not estimated; RV, residual variability; %SEM, percent standard error of the mean.

^aThis estimate (0.297) is a variance term. The corresponding SD = 0.54 ln (standardized SF +4). ^bThis estimate (0.144) is a variance term. The corresponding SD = 0.38 In (standardized SF +4). ^cThis estimate (1.52) is a variance term. The corresponding %CV = 123.29%.

^dThis estimate (0.0104) is a variance term. The corresponding SD = 0.10 ln (standardized SF +4).



Figure 4. Relationship Between Predicted Standardized Seizure Frequency and Eslicarbazepine Cav-ss





- eatment with placebo (1 = yes, 0 = no) in the *j*th patient
- concentration in the *j*th patient
- standardized seizure frequency in the *j*th patient

- The logit model for responder rate is the sum of an effect of placebo and the eslicarbazepine eff linear function of the eslicarbazepine C_{av-ss} as shown in **Figure 5**.
- All model parameters were estimated with good precision (%SEM ≤40%) as shown in Table 4. Eslicarbazepine C_{av-ss} was shown to be statistically significantly related to the responder rate, wi likelihood of response as eslicarbazepine C_{av-ss} increases.
- For patients receiving placebo, the predicted responder rate (probability of response) was of 0.
- Based on the model, the predicted responder rates (probability of response) for patients with the eslicarbazepine C_{av-ss} associated with QD ESL doses of 400 mg, 800 mg, and 1200 mg were 0.2 respectively.
- The relationship between the predicted responder rate and eslicarbazepine Caves is shown in Figure 1 that this exposure-response relationship is relatively shallow over this range of doses.

Figure 5. Logit Model for the Responder Rate

 $Logit_{j} = -1.46 \times plac_{j} + (-plac_{j}) \times 1.09 + 0.000051 \times C_{av-ss_{j}}$

= an indicator variable for treatment with placebo (1 = yes, 0 = no) in the *j*th patient $plac_i$

 C_{av-ss_i} = steady-state average ESL concentration in the *j*th patient

able 4. Parameter Estimates for the Final Responder Rate lodel			
	Final Parameter Estimate		
Population Mean	%SEM		
-1.46	12.3		
-1.09	18.1		
0.000051	40.2		
um value of the objective function =	-734.353		
	Population Mean -1.46 -1.09 0.000051 um value of the objective function =		

Figure 6. Relationship Between Responder Rate and Eslicarbazepine Caves



Conclusion

- In this analysis, the exposure-response models demonstrated a statistically significant effect of eslicarbazepine exposure on seizure frequency-related responses, with a reduction in seizure frequency and an increase in responder rate expected as eslicarbazepine exposure increases over the clinical dose range of 400 mg to 1200 mg QD.
- When taken together with traditional statistical analyses of these endpoints, the exposureresponse models support the recommended maintenance doses of eslicarbazepine acetate 800 mg to 1200 mg QD.
- Monitoring of eslicarbazepine plasma concentrations was not required to guide therapeutic dosing, given the relatively shallow exposure-response relationships and safety profile of eslicarbazepine acetate from the Phase 3 studies.

References

- Almeida L, Soares-da-Silva P. Eslicarbazepine acetate (BIA 2-093). Neurotherapeutics. 2007;4:88-96.
- Elger C, Halász P, et al. Efficacy and safety of eslicarbazepine acetate as adjunctive treatment in adults with refractory partial-onset seizures: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase III study. Epilepsia. 2009;50:454-463.
- Ben-Menachem E, Gabbai A, et al. Eslicarbazepine acetate as adjunctive therapy in adult patients with partial epilepsy. Epilepsy Research. 2010;89,278-285. 4. Halász P, Cramer JA, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of eslicarbazepine acetate: Results of a 1-year open-label extension study in partial-onset seizures in adults with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2010;51:1963-1969.
- SAS [computer program]. Version 9.1.3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute; 2006.
- NONMEM [computer program]. Version V. Ellicott City, MD: ICON Development Solutions: 2006.

fect described by a
vith increasing
19. e median .28, 0.33, and 0.38,
igure 6, and shows

