# T3182

# Population Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics of Antidepressant R,R(-) and S,S(+) Reboxetine (RBX) A.J. Xiao,<sup>1</sup> J. Fiedler-Kelly,<sup>1</sup> M. Redman,<sup>1</sup> J.C. Fleishaker<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cognigen Corporation, Buffalo, NY and <sup>2</sup>Pharmacia Corporation, Kalamazoo, MI

# ABSTRACT

Purpose. To develop a population pharmacokinetic model describing the disposition of each enantiomer of antidepressant reboxetine and a pharmacodynamic model relating plasma concentrations and adverse effects

Methods. Data for analysis were from a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center trial. 198 patients received oral doses of 1, 2, or 4 mg b.i.d, reboxetine and 80 patients received placebo, Population PK models for R,R(-) and S,S(+) reboxetine were developed separately in NONMEM®. A PD model on pulse variation was also developed using NONMEM® and a logistic regression analysis was performed on the risk of selected adverse events during treatment with reboxetine using SAS® software

Results. One-compartment models with first-order absorption best described the PK of both R,R(-) and S.S(+) reboxetine. Clearance and steady-state volume of distribution of R.R(-) and S.S(+) reboxetine were estimated as 2.36 L/hr, 59.2 L, 4.45 L/hr and 102 L, respectively. Gender and weight were observed to affect clearance of R,R(+) reboxetine, with a 24.3% reduction for females and about 0.012 L/hr reduction for every 1 kg gain in weight. None of the concomitant medications explored was found to significantly influence R,R(-) reboxetine PK. Neither demographic characteristics nor concomitant medications were found to significantly affect S,S(+) reboxetine PK. Reboxetine doses were found to be significantly related to the risk of the combined adverse events with an odds ratio (95% CI) of 1.52 (1.26, 1.83). The time course of change in pulse rate from baseline is best represented by a sigmoid model. Reboxetine treatment was observed to increase pulse rate by about 8 beats/minute on average. not significantly varying with doses, demographics, or concomitant medications.

Conclusions. No demographic factors were identified in this analysis which affect the pharmacokinetics of reboxetine enantiomers to a clinically significant degree. No clear relationship between reboxetine exposure and specific adverse events was observed.

# INTRODUCTION

Reboxetine (RBX) is a unique selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor that exhibits antidepressant activity in adult patients with major depression. Oral absorption of reboxetine is rapid and unaffected by food, with at least 90% of absorbed drug being plasma protein bound. Reboxetine is a racemic mixture of the R,R(-) and S,S(+) enantiomers, and plasma concentrations of the R,R(-) enantiomer are typically twice those of the S,S(+) enantiomer. In healthy volunteers, the elimination half-lives of the enantiomers were similar (approximately 11-13 hours) and not substantially affected by dose level after single oral reboxetine doses of 1.5-4.5 mg. Reboxetine is eliminated primarily by hepatic metabolism, principally by the CYP3A4 isoenzyme. Elimination is slowed, and systemic exposure increased in elderly patients and those with renal or hepatic impairment. Reboxetine can cause side effects typical of enhanced noradrenergic tone such as dry mouth, constipation, and increased heart rate, although these effects were less common when directly compared with imipramine or desipramine. Although qualitative analysis of reboxetine adverse effects has been reported, the relationship between adverse effects and repoxetine dose or plasma concentrations has not been described. The present population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analysis was performed using available R,R(-) and S,S(+) reboxetine plasma concentrations from a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center clinical trial of reboxetine in patients with major depression

## OBJECTIVES

- · Develop a population pharmacokinetic model to describe the disposition of each enantiomer following oral administration of reboxetine.
- Describe inter- and intra-subject variabilities in the pharmacokinetic parameters.
- Develop a pharmacodynamic model to evaluate reboxetine-related adverse events.
- · Evaluate the influence of patient covariates and concomitant medications on the pharmacokinetic and harmacodynamic relationships

# **METHODS**

center, multi-national clinical trial investigating RBX for major depression

concentrations of both the R,R(-) and S,S(+) enantiomers were quantified.

combined additive plus constant coefficient of variation error model).

· Data were collected from patients enrolled in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, European multi

· Blood samples were collected from each patient on study days 7, 14, 28, and 42. Plasma

 NONMEM<sup>®</sup> version V (with EO estimation method)<sup>1</sup> was used to fit the model to the data . The R,R(-) and S,S(+) RBX concentration-time data were separately fit to one- and two-compa

Interindividual variability in CL, V, and k, were modeled using an exponential error model. Residual variability: three error models were evaluated (proportional error, additive error, and

· Covariates: weight, age, gender, tobacco use (none, light, heavy), and creatinine clearance (CrCL,

nonbarbiturate sedatives and hypnotics (excluding doxylamine and doxylamine succinate) · lorazepam, oxazepam, and other antianxiety medications which undergo conjugation or

alprazolam, diazepam, and other antianxiety medications which undergo oxidation or

· For univariate analyses using the forward selection procedure, statistical significance was defined as

• For multivariable backward elimination, a change of least 10.83 ( $\alpha$  = 0.001, 1 degree of freedom) was

a change in the minimum value of the objective function of at least 3.84 ( $\alpha = 0.05$ , 1 degree of

Interaction effects between covariates were evaluated for significance using the same criteria.

used to define statistical significance for the deletion of a single covariate.

Adverse events (AEs) were grouped and evaluated together as described below

increased/decreased urinary frequency, impaired urination, and urinary retention

the effect of RBX exposure, patient covariates, and concomitant medications on that risk. Independent variables evaluated for influence on the risk of adverse events:

Model-based analyses with NONMEM<sup>®</sup> were used to describe the time course of changes from

Logistic regression was used (SAS® software, version 6.123) to evaluate the risk of selected AEs and

Measures of reboxetine exposure: dose and predicted R,R(-) and S,S(+) RBX 12-hour trough

· Patients were randomized to receive placebo or a total daily dose of 2, 4, or 8 mg of oral reboxetin

**Pharmacokinetic Analysis** 

Subjects and data collection

for 6 weeks (b.i.d.).

Pharmacostatistical modeling

Patient covariate analysis

Concomitant medications:

glucuronidatio

hydroxylation

Pharmacodynamic Analysis

constipation and dry mouth

concentrations

· palpitations and tachycardia

all of these adverse events combined

covariate analyses was as defined previously.

Demographic characteristics (as specified previously)

Heart rate and systolic/diastolic blood pressure change analyses

Concomitant medications (as specified previously)

Adverse event analysis

Statistical analysis

models with first-order absorption and elimination

calculated using the Cockroft and Gault equation<sup>2</sup>).

· estrogens and oral contraceptives

freedom) for the addition of a single parameter.

# RESULTS

#### Pharmacokinetic Analysis and Modeling

Data

630 R,R(-) reboxetine plasma concentrations and 628 S,S(+) reboxetine plasma concentrations from 198 patients were available for pharmacokinetic model development.

#### Table 1: Demographic Characteristics and Concomitant Medication Usage of Patients Included in okinetic Analysis (N=198)

	Maan (SD)	41.38 (10.41)
A ge (urs)	Median	41
Age (yis)	Min Mov	19 45
	Moon (SD)	110 77 (20 07)
Constinuine Classence (m.L. (min)	Media (SD)	10(.08
Creatinine Clearance (mL/min)	Median	106.08
	Min-Max	44.20 - 212.16
	Mean (SD)	68.69 (13.58)
Weight (kg)	Median	65.55
	Min-Max	43 - 110
Gender		
Male	N (%)	59 (29.8%)
Female	N (%)	139 (70.2%)
Smoking Status		
None	N (%)	120 (60.61%)
Light	N (%)	24 (12.12%)
Heavy	N (%)	54 (27.27%)
Concomitant Medications		
Estrogens	N (%)	31 (15.66%)
Sedatives/Hypnotics	N (%)	12 (6.06%)
Benzo1-Conjugated	N (%)	90 (45.45%)
Benzo <sup>1</sup> -Oxidated	N (%)	1 (0.51%)

Final equation for computing apparent oral clearance of R,R(-) RBX:

Table 2: Comparison of R,R(-) and S	,S(+) RBX Parameter Estimates fr	om the Final Pharmacokinetic M	odels	Pharmaco
	<b>PP</b> ()	8 8(1)		Combined ac

	R,R(-)		S,S(+)	
Parameters	Final Estimate	%SEM	Final Estimate	%SEM
Clearance, (L/hr) $\Theta_{CL}^{0}$	2.36	5.3	4.45	3.3
Gender effect on CL, $\Theta_{CL}^{SEXF}$	-0.243	20.7	NE	NE
Weight effect on CL, $\Theta_{CL}^{WTKG}$	-0.0119	39.7	NE	NE
Volume of Distribution, (L) $\Theta_V^0$	59.2	19.4	102.0	16.2
Absorption Rate Constant, (1/hr) $\Theta_{ka}^{0}$	0.904	28.3	0.761	23.7
Inter-individual variability in CL, (%CV) $\eta_{_{CL}}$	35.1	13.3	42.7	18.2
Inter-individual variability in V, (%CV) $\eta_{_V}$	38.6	291.9	NE	NE
Residual variability (%CV)	29.2	10.8	29.2	11.3

Inter-individual variability in V was modeled only for the R,R(-) enantiomer.

· The proportional residual error model was used in both PK model



Figure 1: Goodness-of-Fit of the PK Models for R.R(-) and S.S(+) Reboxetine

## odynamic modeling

#### lverse events analysi

 Because no clear relationship between RBX exposure and specific AEs was observed, only the overall grouping of combined events was explored using logistic regression analysis The final model describing risk of combined adverse events is a function of only RBX dose

#### Table 3: Parameter Estimates from Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk of the Combined Adverse Events

Parameter	Final Estimate	Standard Error	<i>p</i> -value	Odds Rat (95% CI
Intercept	-1.3209	0.2298	0.0001	
Dose	0.4189	0.0954	0.0001	1.520 (1.261,

#### Table 4: Observed vs. Predicted Frequencies of AEs by Dose

	Placebo	1mg bid	2mg bid	
Observed Frequency (%)	12.1	41.1	41.2	
Model-based Predicted Probability (%)	21	29	38	

## leart rate and blood pressure analysis

Overall, observed systolic/diastolic blood pressure changes were less than 5mmHg in all cases.

### Final sigmoid model describing pulse change over time:

- $Y_{...} = [P \max_{i} \bullet time_{ii} / (PT_{50i} + time_{ii})] + \varepsilon_{...}$ 
  - $P \max_{j} = \Theta_{pac} \bullet (1 TRT_{j}) + \Theta_{pac}^{RBX} \bullet TRT_{j} + \eta_{pmax}$
  - $PT_{50_j} = \Theta_{pT_{50_j}} \bullet \left(1 + \Theta_{pT_{50_j}}^{com} \bullet CONJ_j\right) + \eta_{pT_{50_j}}$

Final equation for computing apparent oral clearance of S,S(+) RBX:

<sup>1</sup>Benzo = benzodiazepine  $CL_{j} = \left[\Theta_{CL}^{0} \bullet \left(1 + \Theta_{CL}^{SEXF} \bullet SEXF_{j}\right) + \Theta_{CL}^{WTKG} \bullet (WTKG_{j} - 68.5)\right] \bullet e^{\eta_{CL}}$ 

 $CL = \Theta^{0} \bullet e^{\eta}$ 

<b>D</b>	R,F	R(-)	S,S	(+)
Parameters	Final Estimate	%SEM	Final Estimate	%SEM
Clearance, (L/hr)				
$\Theta_{CL}^{\circ}$	2.36	5.3	4.45	3.3
Gender effect on CL,				
$\Theta_{CL}^{SEXF}$	-0.243	20.7	NE	NE
Weight effect on CL,				
$\Theta_{CL}^{WTKG}$	-0.0119	39.7	NE	NE
Volume of Distribution, (L)				
$\Theta_V^0$	59.2	19.4	102.0	16.2
Absorption Rate Constant, (1/hr)				
$\Theta_{_{ka}}^{^{0}}$	0.904	28.3	0.761	23.7
Inter-individual variability in CL,				

ka				
Inter-individual variability in CL, (%CV) $\eta_{_{CL}}$	35.1	13.3	42.7	

- baseline as a function of the independent predictor variables listed above. Statistical significance for NF = Not Estimated

Where





tio I)	
1.832)	
lmg bid	1



$\mathbf{Y}_{ij}$	= change in pulse from baseline/screening visit (beats/minute) for the $j^{th}$ patient at the $i^{th}$ time;
Pmaxj	<ul> <li>the maximum change in pulse from baseline (beats/minute) for the j<sup>th</sup> patient;</li> </ul>
$\mathrm{PT}_{\mathrm{S0j}}$	– the time required to obtain half of the maximum pulse change (days) for the $j^{\rm th}$ patient; and
$\mathcal{E}_{ij}$	– a random variable describing the difference between the predicted pulse change and the actual pulse change for the $j^{\pm}$ patient at the $i^{\pm}$ time.
$TRT_j$	<ul> <li>treatment indicator variable in the j<sup>sk</sup> patient with a value of 1 for patients receiving treatment with reboxetine and 0 for patients receiving placebo;</li> </ul>
$\Theta_{Pmax}^{\ plac}$	- the typical value of the maximum change in pulse from baseline for patients receiving placebo;
$\Theta_{Pmax}^{BBX}$	- the typical value of the maximum change in pulse from baseline for patients receiving treatment with reboxetine, regardless of dose;
$\eta_{P\max j}$	= the persistent difference between the true value of Pmax in the $j^{th}$ patient and the typical value; the $\eta$ are independent, identically distributed statistic errors with a mean of 0 and a variance of $\omega^2$ ;
CONJ <sub>j</sub>	= dichotomous indicator variable in the $j^{\#}$ patient with a value of 1 for the concomitant use of benzodiazepines which undergo conjugation or glucuronidation and 0 otherwise;
$\Theta_{PT50}$	<ul> <li>the typical value of the time required to obtain half of the maximum puls change for patients not receiving concomitant benzodiazepines which undergo conjugation or glucuronidation;</li> </ul>
$\Theta_{PTss}^{conj}$	- the mean proportional increase or decrease in PTs9 associated with the us of benzodiazepines which undergo conjugation or glucuronidation; and
$\eta_{_{PT50j}}$	= the persistent difference between the true value of PTs0 in the $j^{ab}$ patient a the typical value; the $\eta_j$ are independent, identically distributed statistical errors with a mean of 0 and a variance of $\omega^2$

#### Table 5: Parameter Estimates for the Final Model Describing Pulse Change Time Course

Parameter	Population	n Mean	an Magnitude of Inter-inc Variability (SD	
	Final Estimate	%SEM	Final Estimate	%SEM
Pmax placebo (beats/minute)	-4.14	93.2	40.00	19.9
Pmax RBX (beats/minute)	8.03	24.8	12.69	
PT <sub>50</sub> (days)	8.76	31.3		
Conj. Benzo. Effect on PT <sub>50</sub>	-0.584	32.4	18.87	111.2
Residual Variability (SD)	6.93	9.0	NA	NA

#### Figure 2: Effect of Treatment with Reboxetine on Pulse Change Simulated with the Final Model



- Model-predicted pulse rate change = increase of approximately 8 beats/minute by study day 7 for any RBX dose (1, 2, or 4mg b.i.d.).
- Concomitant antianxiety medications which undergo conjugation accelerate the maximum expected pulse change due to RBX by 58%.

# REFERENCES

- NONMEM<sup>®</sup> Users Guides, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. (1995); Beal SL, Sheiner LB (Eds.) NONMEM<sup>®</sup> Project Group, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco
- Cockroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron 1976; 16:31-41.
   SAS<sup>6</sup> Institute Inc. The LOGISTIC procedure. In:SAS/STAT User's Guide, Volume 2, 4<sup>th</sup> edition.

# CONCLUSIONS

- The pharmacokinetics of both R,R(-) and S,S(+) RBX can be adequately described using a one-compartment model with first-order absorption and elimination. The population estimates for apparent oral clearance and volume of distribution of the R,R(-) enantiomer
- were substantially lower than that of the S,S(+) enantiomer, but both exhibited similar elimination half-lives. Covariate analysis indicated that clearance of only the R,R(-) enantiomer was influenced by weight and
- gender, but not to any clinically significant degree. A statistically significant positive relationship exists between the risk of the occurrence of combined adverse
- events and RBX dose
- RBX treatment results in an approximate increase in pulse rate of 8 beats/minute, with no significant influence from dose, demographics, or concomitant medications.