Population Pharmacokinetic Modeling to Confirm Weight-Based Banded Dosing and Exposure-Response Efficacy Analyses to Support Trofinetide Treatment in Rett Syndrome

Mona Darwish,¹ Julie Passarell,² Kelly Maxwell,² James M. Youakim,¹ Heather Bradley,¹ Kathie M. Bishop¹

¹Acadia Pharmaceuticals Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; ²Cognigen Division, Simulations Plus Inc., Buffalo, NY, USA

BACKGROUND

- Trofinetide, a synthetic analog of glycine-proline-glutamate, was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in March 2023 for the treatment of Rett syndrome (RTT) in adults and pediatric patients aged ≥ 2 years¹
- In the phase 3 LAVENDER[™] study in females with RTT aged 5–20 years (NCT04181723), trofinetide provided statistically significant improvements over placebo in caregiver- and clinician-rated efficacy measures and demonstrated an acceptable safety profile²
- Previous phase 2 studies have also demonstrated trofinetide to be efficacious and well tolerated in the treatment of RTT^{3,4}
- Weight-based dosing of trofinetide was used in LAVENDER to achieve the target exposure (area under the concentration-time curve over the dosing interval [12 hours] at steady state [AUC_{0-12 ss}] of 800–1200 μ g•h/mL) that was previously identified in a phase 2 study⁴
- Initial exposure-response (E-R) modeling of the phase 2 studies in females with RTT using predicted exposure parameters and selected efficacy endpoints suggested a correlation between trofinetide AUC_{0-12 ss} and magnitude of response on the Rett Syndrome Behaviour Questionnaire (RSBQ) and Clinical Global Impression–Improvement (CGI-I) scale, the coprimary endpoints in LAVENDER
- The E-R RSBQ model was used to identify the target exposure and guide weight-banded dose selection for LAVENDER

OBJECTIVES

- To refine the previous population pharmacokinetic (popPK) model by incorporating pooled data from 13 clinical studies, including LAVENDER
- To use the updated popPK model to estimate individual steady state exposure parameters (maximum observed drug concentration at steady state $[C_{max,ss}]$ and AUC_{0-12,ss}) to confirm that the weight-based dosing used in LAVENDER would achieve target exposure in individuals with RTT aged 5-20 years
- To perform E-R analyses to characterize the relationships between exposure measures and the LAVENDER efficacy endpoints

METHODS

Target Exposure

- The refined popPK model included data from 442 participants from 13 trofinetide clinical trials:
- Eight phase 1 studies in healthy participants
- Two phase 2 studies (Neu-2566-Rett-001³ and Neu-2566-Rett-002⁴) and a phase 3 study (LAVENDER²) in participants with RTT
- Two phase 2 studies in other disease conditions (fragile X syndrome and traumatic brain injury)
- Individual exposure measures were generated via integration of the predicted concentration-time profile for each individual based on the final popPK model and individual empiric Bayesian pharmacokinetic (PK) parameter estimates. These exposure measures included AUC_{0-12.ss} and C_{max.ss} for participants in LAVENDER</sub> following per protocol body weight-banded dosing regimens:
- \circ 6 g, 8 g, 10 g, and 12 g twice daily (BID) for participants weighing ≥12 to <20 kg, ≥20 to <35 kg, ≥35 to <50 kg, and \geq 50 kg, respectively
- The estimated exposure measures were used to generate plots that compare the distributions of AUC_{0-12.ss} values for each body weight group with the target exposure range (AUC_{0-12.ss} = 800–1200 μ g•h/mL)

Exposure-Efficacy Modeling

- Efficacy endpoints from LAVENDER that were included in the modeling were RSBQ and CGI-I (coprimary endpoints), Communication and Symbolic Behavior Scales Developmental Profile™ Infant-Toddler (CSBS-DP-IT) Checklist Social Composite score (key secondary endpoint), and the Rett Syndrome Clinician Rating of Ability to Communicate Choices (RTT-COMC; secondary endpoint)
- The E-R model for CGI-I scores was developed using data from LAVENDER and the two phase 2 studies (Neu-2566-Rett-001 and Neu-2566-Rett-002)
- E-R modeling for RSBQ scores used data from Neu-2566-Rett-002 and LAVENDER
- E-R modeling for CSBS-DP-IT Checklist Social Composite and RTT-COMC scores used data from LAVENDER
- Development of the E-R models involved the following procedure: (1) generation of individual estimates of exposure based on the popPK model; (2) exploratory data analysis; (3) base structural model development incorporating drug exposure effects; (4) evaluation of covariate effects; (5) final model refinement; and (6) model evaluation
- The final E-R efficacy models were validated using a simulation-based, visual predictive check methodology to assess concordance between the model-based simulated data and the observed data

Target Exposure

Figure 1. Distributions of popPK model-predicted AUC_{0-12 ss} values in LAVENDER study participants by



Exposure-Efficacy Modeling

- E-R Analysis of RSBQ 42 (13–74)

- (Figure 3D)

RESULTS

• The refined popPK model was similar to the previous popPK model developed, indicating consistency of the PK profile across studies • A distribution plot (Figure 1) and boxplots (Figure 2) comparing AUC_{0-12 ss} values for each body weight group with the previously identified target exposure range indicated that the median peak AUC_{0-12,ss} values were largely contained within the target exposure</sub> range for all body weight ranges and that the distribution of AUC_{0-12} s values overlapped with the target exposure range • Individuals in the lowest body weight band (who received 6 g BID) had slightly higher values of AUC_{0-12 ss} compared with the other body weight bands (8 g, 10 g, and 12 g BID)



The dashed lines represent the target exposure range (AUC_{0-12,ss} = 800–1200 µg•h/mL). The dotted line represents the median target exposure (AUC_{0-12,ss} = 1000 µg•h/mL) AUC_{0-12.ss}, area under the concentration-time curve over the dosing interval (12 hours) at steady state; BID, twice daily; popPK, population pharmacokineti





The dashed lines represent the target exposure range (AUC_{0-12.ss} = 800–1200 µg•h/mL). The dotted line represents the median target exposure (AUC_{0-12.ss} = 1000 µg•h/mL). The bottom and top of each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively; the whiskers represent the 25th/75th percentile + 1.5 × IQR; the line within each box represents the median. The circles represent the values above/below the 25th/75th percentile + $1.5 \times IQR$ AUC_{0-12.ss}, area under the concentration-time curve over the dosing interval (12 hours) at steady state; BID, twice daily; IQR, interquartile range; n, number of participants; popPK, population pharmacokinetic

• The RSBQ E-R model included 264 participants with 1022 RSBQ total scores; the median (range) baseline RSBQ total score was

• An E-R relationship was identified for RSBQ total scores and was modeled as a linear time-course model including parameters estimating the baseline RSBQ total scores and the slope for time

• A linear function described the relationship between the trofinetide AUC_{0-12} and slope whereby a higher trofinetide exposure was predictive of a reduction (improvement) in RSBQ total score

• Average AUC₀₋₁₂ values of 800 and 1200 µg•h/mL resulted in reductions in model-predicted RSBQ total scores at Week 12 of 3.55 and 4.94, respectively, compared with 0.76 for placebo (Figures 3A and 3B)

• Baseline body weight was a significant covariate (heavier weight corresponding to larger reductions in RSBQ total scores; Figure 3C), and model-predicted change in RSBQ scores from baseline were dose-dependent and consistent across the 4 weight-based bands







In Panel A, the dashed vertical line represents median C_{max} of 147 µg/mL. In Panels A and B, the solid line represents the model-predicted change for the final E-R model. In Panels A, B, and C, the dashed horizontal line represents no change in CSBS-DP-IT Checklist Social Composite score AUC₀₋₁₂, area under the concentration-time curve over the dosing interval (12 hours); BID, twice daily; C_{max}, maximum observed drug concentration; CSBS-DP-IT Checklist, Communication and Symbolic Behavior Scales Developmental Profile™ Infant-Toddler Checklist; E-R, exposure-response

Figure 4. Scatterplot and model-predicted change in CSBS-DP-IT Checklist Social Composite scores from baseline to end of treatment versus trofinetide C_{max} (A and B). Model-predicted change in CSBS-DP-IT Checklist Social Composite scores from baseline versus day for each dose level (assuming median trofinetide AUC₀₋₁₂) (C)

- E-R Analysis of RTT-COMC Scores
- RTT-COMC score was 4 (1–7)

- (improvement)

Figure 5. Model-predicted cumulative percentage of RTT-COMC scores versus rofinetide C_{max} for the final E-R model for RTT-COMC scores



Dashed vertical lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles of C_{max} for the target dose C_{max}, maximum observed drug concentration; E-R, exposure-response; P, probability; RTT-COMC, Rett Syndrome Clinician Rating of Ability to Communicate Choices

REFERENCES

- 2. Neul JL, et al. Nat Med. 2023;29:1468–1475. 3. Glaze DG, et al. Pediatr Neurol. 2017;76:37–46.
- 4. Glaze DG, et al. *Neurology*. 2019;92(16):e1912–e1925.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study was supported by Acadia Pharmaceuticals Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). The authors would like to thank Serge Stankovic, of Acadia Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Daryl DeKarske, formerly of Acadia Pharmaceuticals Inc., for their contribution to the study. Medical writing support was provided by Beth Neame, PhD, and Stuart Murray, MSc, of Evidence Scientific Solutions, Inc., and funded by Acadia Pharmaceuticals Inc.

DISCLOSURES

MD, JMY, HB, and KMB are employees of and stakeholders in Acadia Pharmaceuticals Inc. JP and **KM** are employees of and hold stock in Simulations Plus.

• The RTT-COMC E-R model included 181 participants with 672 RTT-COMC scores; the median (range) baseline

• An E-R relationship was identified for RTT-COMC scores and was modeled as a proportional odds model with 2 additive components on the logit scale: baseline RTT-COMC score and the drug effect • A higher trofinetide exposure (C_{max}) was predictive of a higher probability of lower RTT-COMC scores

• A median trofinetide C_{max} of 147 µg/mL resulted in a model-predicted cumulative probability of RTT-COMC score ≤3 of 0.55, compared with 0.49 for placebo (**Figure 5**)

CONCLUSIONS

• The proposed weight-based banded dosing regimen in the LAVENDER study achieved the targeted trofinetide exposure range (AUC_{0-12.ss} = 800–1200 μ g•h/mL), confirming that the proposed dosing regimen in females with RTT aged 5–20 years is adequate to achieve target exposure

• The E-R relationship was significant and demonstrated that higher trofinetide exposures are associated with improved RSBQ, CSBS-DP-IT Checklist Social Composite, and RTT-COMC scores

• Significant differences in these efficacy endpoints in favor of trofinetide versus placebo were observed in the LAVENDER study, confirming the findings of the E-R model

1. DAYBUE (trofinetide) [package insert]. San Diego, CA: Acadia Pharmaceuticals; 2023.

To receive a copy of this poster, scan QR code via barcode reader application. By requesting this content, you agree to receive a one-time communication using automated technology. Message and data rates may apply. Links are valid for 30 days after the congress presentation



