

DILIsymServices

S+ A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY



Assessing Effects of Sublingual BHV-0223 and Oral Riluzole on Liver Function Test Parameters

March 13, 2019

Brett A. Howell, Ph.D., President, DILIsym Services Inc., a Simulations Plus Company Society of Toxicology 2019 Annual Meeting

*DILIsym[®], NAFLDsym[®], MITOsym[®], GastroPlus[®], ADMET Predictor[®], and SimPops[®] are registered trademarks, and SimCohorts[™], IPFsym[™], and RENAsym[™] are trademarks of DILIsym Services Inc. and/or Simulations Plus Inc. for computer modeling software and for consulting services.



Conflict of Interest Statement

- Brett A. Howell is an employee of DILIsym Services Inc., the producer of DILIsym software
- Brett A. Howell receives financial benefit from DILIsym software sales and consulting use





Summary of Presentation

- DILIsym is a mechanistic, mathematical model that has been constructed to support pharmaceutical risk assessment and decision making
 - Intersection of compound distribution and metabolism (PBPK), hepatotoxicity mechanisms, and patient variability
- DILIsym has been applied to support decisions related to compound DILI risk throughout the drug development pipeline
- DILIsym was used to compare the possible liver safety effects of two different formulations of riluzole, used to slow the progression of ALS





DILIsym Predicts DILI via the Intersection Between Exposure, Mechanisms, and Inter-Patient Variability



The DILI-sim Initiative is a Partnership Between DILIsym Services and Pharmaceutical Companies to Minimize DILI





Select Sample of Current Companies Licensing DILIsym



DILIsymServices

SH A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY

- Overall Goals
 - Improve patient safety
 - Reduce the need for animal testing
 - Reduce the costs and time necessary to develop new drugs
- History
 - Officially started in 2011
 - 19 major pharmaceutical companies have participated
 - Members have provided compounds, data, and conducted experiments to support effort
 - Over \$9 million total invested in project

- Multiple species: human, rat, mouse, and dog
 - Population variability
- The three primary acinar zones of liver represented
- Essential cellular processes represented to multiple scales in interacting sub-models
 - Pharmacokinetics
 - Dosing (IP, IV, Oral)
 - Transporter Inhibition
 - Drug metabolism
 - GSH depletion
 - Injury progression
 - Mitochondrial dysfunction, toxicity, DNA depletion
 - Bile acid mediated toxicity
 - Steatosis and lipotoxicity
 - Cellular energy balance
 - Hepatocyte apoptosis and necrosis, and proliferation
 - Cholangiocyte apoptosis and proliferation
 - Macrophage, LSEC life cycles
 - Immune mediators
 - Caloric intake
 - Biomarkers

DILIsym Overview



- Over 60 detailed representations of optimization or validation compounds with 80% success
- Single and combination drug therapies





Immunology, Cholestasis, Population Variability and Enhanced Software Highlight Stage 3 Areas of Focus

- Immunology is a critical area for latent DILI and many oncology related DILI events
- Cholestasis has become increasingly important from a regulatory standpoint
 - Phospholipids and MDR3 an important consideration
- Population variability must include all relevant factors such as disease, environment and genetics
- Improved user friendliness and speed
- Improved in vitro data to feed the software

DILIsymServices

ST A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY



Known DILIsym Applications Submitted to or Intended for Regulatory Agencies

Ν	Agency	Context	Scenario	Simulation Type	Presented/ Submitted By
1	FDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency	Sponsor responding to concerns over liver safety signals	Hepatocyte loss (biomarker fitting)	Sponsor
2	FDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency	Sponsor responding to concerns over liver safety signals	Hepatocyte loss (biomarker fitting)	Sponsor
3	FDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency and presented during meeting	Sponsor responding to concerns over liver safety signals	Hepatocyte loss (biomarker fitting)	Sponsor and DILIsym Services
4	BARDA*	Simulation results presented to sponsor group at BARDA	Sponsor responding to concerns over liver safety signals	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	DILIsym Services and Sponsor
5	FDA and PMDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency and presented during meeting	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver safety in NDA submission	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor and DILIsym Services
6	FDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency and presented during meeting	Sponsor repurposing compound that failed due to hepatotoxicity in IND submission	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor and DILIsym Services
7	FDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency and presented during meeting	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver signals from other drug in same class with same indication	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
8	FDA and EMA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver safety in NDA submission	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
9	FDA	Simulation results included in formal, written correspondence to agency and discussed during call with FDA	Sponsor responding to concerns over liver safety signals	Hepatocyte loss (biomarker fitting)	Sponsor
10	FDA and other regulators globally	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver safety signals	Hepatocyte loss (biomarker fitting) and Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
11	FDA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver signals from other drug in same class with same indication	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
12	FDA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor reformulating existing compound on the market	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
13	FDA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results and present at meeting	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver safety signals	Mechanistic bilirubin (predictive)	Sponsor
14	FDA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver safety signals	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
15	FDA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver signals from other drug in same class with same indication	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
16	FDA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver signals from other drug in same class with same indication	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
17	EMA	Sponsor intended to submit simulation results	Sponsor addressing concerns over liver safety signals	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Sponsor
18	FDA	Agency reviewed results publicly available during evaluation	Agency addressing concerns over liver safety signals	Mechanistic liver injury (predictive)	Publicly available materials

*Not a direct regulatory agency, but affiliated closely with NIH and FDA

**Several additional sponsors have declared intent to include results in regulatory communications in the future

***Additional drug development teams have implied that regulators have informally requested or recommended DILIsym simulations



DILIsym Utilizes Various Data Types to Inform Decisions

Exposure Data

PBPK Modeling

- Compound Properties
 - Tissue partition coefficients
- Tissue penetration studies
 - Liver to blood ratio
- Pharmacokinetic data
 - Absorption, extra-hepatic clearance, metabolites
- in vitro data
 - Metabolite synthesis, active uptake

In vitro Mechanistic DILI Data

Assays performed to determine <u>quantitative aspects</u> of DILI mechanisms

- Oxidative stress
 - Direct and reactive metabolite-mediated
- Mitochondrial toxicity
 - ETC inhibition
 - Uncoupling
- Bile acid / phospholipid transporter inhibition
 - BSEP, MRP3 and 4, NTCP, (MDR3)
- Bilirubin transport/metabolism
 - OATP1B1, OATP1B3, UGT1A1, MRP2, MRP3



- Pharmacokinetic data
 - Absorption, extra-hepatic clearance, metabolites

DILIsymServices

Applications of DILIsym Along the Drug Development Pipeline





- Predict DILI liabilities beforehand and avoid patient risk and waste
- Choose the lead candidate <u>most</u>
 <u>likely to succeed</u>
 from a DILI standpoint
- Communicate with regulators on safety issues in an informed and mechanistic way

ALS Riluzole Project Example Introduction

- Riluzole is used to slow the progression of ALS
 - Approved in 1995
 - Largely taken orally, which is difficult for late-stage disease patients
 - Associated with liver enzyme elevations in portion of patients
- Biohaven Pharma is developing an alternative, sublingual formulation (BHV-0223) with the following goals:
 - Improved delivery, compliance, and general ease-ofuse for ALS patients, who often have trouble swallowing
 - Improved liver safety profile?









Clinical Incidence of ALT Elevations in ALS Patients ~10-15%

- Bensimon 2004 Riluzole in ALS patients
 - ALT elevations >3x ULN in 10-15% of patients
 - Elevations are dose-related
 - Median time to onset < 3 months
- No data on ALT elevations in healthy volunteers



patients receiving riluzole (100 mg/day, 50 mg b.i.d.) or placebo.* Enzyme Riluzole (n = 395) Placebo (n = 406)

Enzyme		Riluzole (n = 395)	Placebo (n = 406)
ALT	3 – 5 x ULN	35 (9)	12 (3)
	> 5 x ULN	15 (4)	7 (2)
AST	3 – 5 x ULN	18 (5)	3 (1)
	> 5 x ULN	4 (1)	3 (1)

Table 2. Frequency of hepatic enzyme abnormalities in

*Data [8,9] are given as numbers of patients % total.

ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; n: Number of patients; ULN: Upper limit of normal.



Clinical Data and Simulation Results





Summary of DILIsym Toxicity Parameter Values For Riluzole

Mechanism	DILIsym Parameter	Unit	Value***
Mitochondrial Dysfunction	Coefficient for ETC inhibition	μΜ	382
Oxidative Stress	RNS/ROS production rate constant	mL/nmol/hr	6 x 10 ⁻⁴
	BSEP inhibition constant	μΜ	200*
Bile Acid Transporter	NTCP inhibition constant	μΜ	NA
	Basolateral inhibition constant**	μΜ	125*

*IC₅₀ values; default assumption is mixed inhibition type with α = 5, based on the experience of the DSS team

**Basolateral inhibition constant represents the lowest IC_{50} of the experimentally derived MRP3 and MRP4 IC_{50} values

***Values shown in the table for DILIsym input parameters should not be interpreted in isolation with respect to clinical implications, but rather, should be combined with exposure in DILIsym to produce simulations that have predictive and insightful value



DILIsym PBPK Framework for Oral Riluzole (Rilutek)

- PBPK sub-model in DILIsym was used to represent riluzole disposition
 - Consists of blood, liver, gut, muscle, and other tissue compartments (Compound X scaffold)
- Riluzole metabolism represented by one metabolic pathway
 - Sink pathway (Metabolite A) represents aggregate of all riluzole metabolic pathways
 - Metabolites (Metabolite A) will not contribute to toxicity
- Riluzole is predominantly eliminated via hepatic metabolism
 - Excreted predominantly via urine in form of metabolites
 - Low urinary excretion of unchanged parent



SH A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY





Oral Riluzole PBPK Representation in DILIsym Validated with Clinical PK Data



• Simulations reasonably capture plasma profiles of riluzole that were not used in optimization (pure validation results)

Clinical Data and Simulation Results

DILIsymServices

Plasma Profiles Simulated in DILIsym Comparable for 35 mg Sublingual Riluzole (BHV-0223) and 50 mg Oral Riluzole (Rilutek)



- Plasma Cmax and AUC for 35 mg BHV-0223 are comparable to plasma Cmax and AUC for 50 mg Rilutek
- Clearance dynamics also line up well with observed differences

Clinical Data and Simulation Results

DILIsymServices

Riluzole Simulations in Normal Healthy Volunteer SimPops Show ALT Elevation Differences Between Oral and Sublingual Dosing with Certain Assumptions



Simulated eDISH Plots

Simulation Results

ST A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY



SimCohorts of N=16 Simulations Reveals Oxidative Stress as the Driver of Liver Injury in the DILIsym Riluzole Simulations

- Multi16 SimCohort includes 13 sensitive individuals and 2 insensitive individuals from the full n=285 SimPops and includes the baseline human
- Liver injury predicted to be predominantly due to oxidative stress
 - Oxidative stress is required for simulated ALT elevations
 - Mitochondrial toxicity is not required for simulated ALT elevations
 - Bile acid transport inhibition is not required for simulated ALT elevations

	Riluzole Dose and Duration	Parameter Settings	Mechanisms	ALT > 3X ULN*	ALT > 5X ULN*
		High PK, Liver Kb 10	All	3/16	1/16
-	င္ Oral 50 mg BID		No ROS	0/16	0/16
Ċ	5 for 12 weeks		No Mitochondrial Toxicity	3/16	1/16
			No BA Transport Inhibition	3/16	1/16
Sublingual	Sublingual 40 mg BID for 12	High PK, Liver Kb 10	All	1/16	1/16
	weeks		No ROS	0/16	0/16

^{*}Upper limit of normal (ULN) in DILIsym is 40 U/L

**Multi16 SimCohorts used is group of 16 individuals (n=16) among the full v4A_1 SimPops (n=285); 13 of the simulated individuals are sensitive to DILI mechanisms or combinations, 2 are insensitive, and 1 is the baseline (average) human

Multiple Factors Responsible for Differences in the Hepatotoxicity Response to Riluzole Among Simulated Individuals

- Differences in the hepatotoxicity response for the unsusceptible individual and the susceptible individual due to multiple factors (primarily the combined effect of differences in body mass and RNS/ROS clearance)
- Covariate analysis of the SimPops simulation results revealed 3 SimPops parameters that showed a statistically significant correlation with ALT elevations:

DILIsym Parameter	Isym Parameter Description	
RNS ROS cl Vmax	Liver RNS/ROS baseline clearance Vmax	7.6 E-39
Body mass	Body mass	8.3E-24
CAS apop scale	Caspase-mediated apoptosis scaling constant	1.4E-10

Regression analysis performed with peak ALT (oral BID dosing, high PK and liver Kb 10 assumptions) as the dependent variable and the 34 SimPops parameters as independent variables





SH A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY

ALS Riluzole Project Example: DILIsym Helped Biohaven Assess a Potential Safety Benefit of their Compound

- Riluzole is used to slow the progression of ALS
- Biohaven Pharma is developing an alternative, sublingual formulation (BHV-0223)

Primary Project Outcomes

- DILIsym was used to compare the liver safety profile for both formulations
 - Sublingual formulation is less likely to produce less ALT elevations
 - Benefit largely derived from the reduced dose needed
 - An exposure-response analysis helped to define possible safe exposure cut-offs and identified patient susceptibility factors
- The FDA has accepted the NDA filing for Nurtec[™] and a final decision on approval is pending

DILIsymServices







Acknowledgements - The DILIsym Services Team

Paul B. Watkins DILI-sim Initiative Founder and Scientific Advisory Board Chair RTP, NC



Grant Generaux Jeff Woodhead

Scientist II

Philadelphia, PA

Christina Battista

Scientist I

Buffalo, NY

Scott Q Siler Chief Scientific Officer Bay Area, CA



DILlsymServices

S+ A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY

Bud Nelson Patti Steele Director of Operations Executive Assistant RTP. NC

RTP, NC



Diane Longo Scientist II Arlington, VA

Lisl Shoda



Yeshi Gebremichael











Shawn O'Connor

CEO, Simulations Plus Inc.

Lancaster, CA









Nader Hamzavi Postdoctoral Fellow



Guncha Taneja





Principal Scientist Director of Immunology







SH A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY

Zack Kenz

Scientist I

RTP, NC

Scientist II

RTP, NC

Scientist I

RTP, NC







Postdoctoral Fellow RTP, NC



Brett Howell

President

RTP, NC

Shailendra Tallapaka Postdoctoral Fellow



Thank You - Questions?

Contact Information

www.DILlsym.com

www.Simulations-Plus.com

Emails: bhowell@DILIsym.com

St SimulationsPlus

SCIENCE + SOFTWARE = SUCCESS

DILIsymServices

ST A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY

Cognigen A SIMULATIONS PLUS COMPANY

